

P2.3 $H(p) = - \sum_{l=1}^n p_l \log p_l$

and $-p_l \log p_l \geq 0$ for $0 \leq p_l \leq 1$

with equality when $p_l = 0$ or 1

So $H(p) \geq 0$, and we have equality

when $p_l = 0$ or 1 for all l .

P2.4 (a) Chain rule of entropy

(b) $H(g(x)|x) = 0$ since $P[g(x) = x_0 | x] = 0$ or 1
for all x_0 , and x .

(c) Chain rule of entropy

(d) since $H(x|g(x)) \geq 0$.

P2.6 a) Let $X = 0$ or 1 with prob $1/2$ for each outcome.
Let $X = Y = Z$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } I(X; Y | Z) &= H(X|Z) - H(X|YZ) \\ &= 0 - 0 \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= H(X) - H(X|Y) \\ &= 1 - 0 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

P 2.6 b) Let $X = 0$ or 1 with prob $1/2$ for each outcome.
Let $Y = 0$ or 1 with prob $1/2$ for each outcome,
independently of X , i.e., $P_{XY} = P_X P_Y$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } I(X; Y) &= D(P_{XY} \| P_X P_Y) \\ &= D(P_X P_Y \| P_X P_Y) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Now, let $Z = X \oplus Y$ ~~where \oplus is mod 2 addition~~, \oplus is mod 2 addition

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } I(X; Y | Z) &= I(X; Y | X \oplus Y) \\ &= H(X | X \oplus Y) - H(X | X \oplus Y, Y) \\ &= H(X) - H(X | X \oplus Y, Y, X) \\ &= 1 - 0 \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
210 \text{ a) } H(x) &= - \sum_{l=1}^m \alpha p_l \log(\alpha p_l) \\
&\quad - \sum_{l=m+1}^n (1-\alpha) p_l \log((1-\alpha) p_l) \\
&= - \alpha \sum_{l=1}^m p_l [\log p_l + \log \alpha] \\
&\quad - (1-\alpha) \sum_{l=m+1}^n p_l [\log p_l + \log(1-\alpha)] \\
&= - \alpha \sum_{l=1}^m p_l \log p_l - \alpha \sum_{l=1}^m p_l \log \alpha \\
&\quad - (1-\alpha) \sum_{l=m+1}^n p_l \log p_l - (1-\alpha) \sum_{l=m+1}^n p_l \log(1-\alpha) \\
&= \alpha H(x_1) + (1-\alpha) H(x_2) \\
&\quad - \alpha \log \alpha - (1-\alpha) \log(1-\alpha)
\end{aligned}$$

P2.11

$$\begin{aligned} \text{a) } \rho &= 1 - \frac{H(x_2|x_1)}{H(x_1)} \\ &= \frac{H(x_1) - H(x_2|x_1)}{H(x_1)} \\ &= \frac{H(x_2) - H(x_2|x_1)}{H(x_1)} \\ &= \frac{I(x_2; x_1)}{H(x_1)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b) } \frac{H(x_2|x_1)}{H(x_1)} = \frac{H(x_2|x_1)}{H(x_2)}$$

and this is between 0 and 1 since
 $0 \leq H(x_2|x_1) \leq H(x_2)$.

c) When $H(x_2|x_1) = H(x_2) = H(x_1)$,
i.e., when x_2 is independent of x_1 .

d) When $H(x_2|x_1) = 0$, i.e., when x_2 is a
function of x_1 .

P2.14 a) $H(Z|X) = H(X+Y|X)$

$$= \sum_x P_X(x) H(Y+x|X=x)$$

$$= \sum_x P_X(x) H(Y|X=x)$$

$$= H(Y|X)$$

If X & Y are independent, then

$$H(Y) = H(Y|X) \quad [\text{independence used here}]$$

$$= H(Y+X|X)$$

$$\leq H(Y+X) \quad [\text{unconditioning increases entropy}]$$

$$= H(Z)$$

b) Let $X = 0$ or 1 equally likely.

Let ~~X~~ $Y = -X$

Then $H(X) = H(Y) = 1$

But $Z = X+Y = 0 \Rightarrow H(Z) = 0$

c) $H(X) + H(Y) \geq H(X, Y) \quad (i)$

$$= H(X, Y) + H(Z|X, Y) \quad [\text{since } Z = X+Y]$$

$$= H(X, Y, Z)$$

$$= H(Z) + H(X, Y|Z)$$

If we also have that $H(X) + H(Y) = H(Z)$,

Then, we have $H(Z) \geq H(Z) + H(X, Y|Z)$

Since $H(X, Y|Z) \geq 0$, this can only be true if

1) $H(X, Y|Z) = 0$

and 2) $H(X) + H(Y) = H(X, Y)$ in step (i) above.

Condition 1) means that from the sum Z , we can recover both X & Y . This is true if for all $x_0, x_1 \in \overline{X}$, $y_0, y_1 \in \overline{Y}$,

$$x_0 + y_0 \neq x_1 + y_1$$

Condition 2) is true if X & Y are independent.

$$P2.15) I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n)$$

$$= I(X_1; X_2)$$

$$+ I(X_1; X_3 | X_2)$$

+ ...

$$+ I(X_1; X_n | X_{n-1}, \dots, X_2)$$

Let's look at a term of the sum (for $l \geq 3$)

$$I(X_l; X_l | X_{l-1}, \dots, X_2)$$

$$= H(X_l | X_{l-1}, \dots, X_2) - H(X_l | X_{l-1}, \dots, X_2, X_1)$$

$$\stackrel{(a)}{=} H(X_l | X_{l-1}) - H(X_l | X_{l-1})$$

$$= 0$$

where (a) follows from Markov chain property.

$$\text{Then } I(X_1; X_2, \dots, X_n) = I(X_1; X_2).$$

P2.17) (a) Since X_1, \dots, X_n are iid with
 $H(X_l) = H(p)$ for $l=1, \dots, n$.

(b) Since (Z_1, \dots, Z_k, K) is a function of
 (X_1, \dots, X_n) (see P2.4)

(c) chain rule of entropy

(d) Since conditioned on K , Z_1, \dots, Z_k
are iid Bernoulli with $H(Z_l) = 1$, $l=1, \dots, k$
i.e.,

$$H(Z_1, \dots, Z_k | K) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H(Z_1, \dots, Z_k | K=k) p(K=k)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p(K=k)$$

$$= E[K]$$

(e) Since $H(K) \geq 0$

P2.21) The "Markov inequality way":

$$P[p(x) \leq d] = P\left[\frac{1}{p(x)} \geq \frac{1}{d}\right]$$

$$= P\left[\log \frac{1}{p(x)} \geq \log \frac{1}{d}\right]$$

$$\leq \frac{E\left[\log \frac{1}{p(x)}\right]}{\log \frac{1}{d}} \quad (\text{Markov inequality})$$

$$\Rightarrow P[p(x) \leq d] \log \frac{1}{d} \leq H(x)$$

Alternate approach:

Let the pmf be given by $p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \dots \leq p_n$.

Assume that $p_l \leq d < p_{l+1}$, i.e., p_l is last probability $\leq d$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } H(x) &= \sum_{m=1}^n p_m \log \frac{1}{p_m} \\ &\geq \sum_{m=1}^l p_l \log \frac{1}{p_l} \\ &\geq \sum_{m=1}^l p_l \log \frac{1}{d} \\ &= \left(\sum_{m=1}^l p_l \right) \log \frac{1}{d} = P[p(x) \leq d] \log \frac{1}{d} \end{aligned}$$

P2.27) Brute force calculation

$$H(f) + (P_{m-1} + P_m) H\left(\frac{P_{m-1}}{P_{m-1} + P_m}, \frac{P_m}{P_{m-1} + P_m}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} P_l \log \frac{1}{P_l} + \cancel{(P_{m-1} + P_m)} \left[\frac{P_{m-1}}{\cancel{P_{m-1} + P_m}} \log \frac{\cancel{P_{m-1} + P_m}}{P_{m-1}} + \frac{P_m}{\cancel{P_{m-1} + P_m}} \log \frac{\cancel{P_{m-1} + P_m}}{P_m} \right] + (P_{m-1} + P_m) \log \left[\frac{1}{P_{m-1} + P_m} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} P_l \log \frac{1}{P_l} + P_{m-1} \log \frac{1}{P_{m-1}} + P_m \log \frac{1}{P_m} + \cancel{P_{m-1} \log (P_{m-1} + P_m)} + \cancel{P_m \log (P_{m-1} + P_m)} + \cancel{(P_m + P_{m-1}) \log \frac{1}{P_{m-1} + P_m}}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{m-2} P_l \log \frac{1}{P_l} + P_{m-1} \log \frac{1}{P_{m-1}} + P_m \log \frac{1}{P_m}$$

$$= H(f)$$

P2.2B)

Let $p = (p_1, \dots, p_i, \dots, p_j, \dots, p_m)$

Let $q = (p_1, \dots, \frac{p_i + p_j}{2}, \dots, \frac{p_i + p_j}{2}, \dots, p_m)$

Then $H(q) - H(p)$

$$= -\frac{p_i + p_j}{2} \log\left(\frac{p_i + p_j}{2}\right) - \frac{p_i + p_j}{2} \log\left(\frac{p_i + p_j}{2}\right)$$

$$+ p_i \log p_i + p_j \log p_j$$

$$= -(p_i + p_j) \log\left(\frac{p_i + p_j}{2}\right)$$

$$+ p_i \log p_i + p_j \log p_j$$

$$\geq 0 \quad \text{with equality if } p_i = p_j$$

This is because by log-sum inequality:

$$p_i \log \frac{p_i}{1} + p_j \log \frac{p_j}{1} \geq (p_i + p_j) \log \left(\frac{p_i + p_j}{1+1}\right)$$

with equality if $\frac{p_i}{1} = \frac{p_j}{1} = \text{constant}$.

P2.29)

$$(a) \quad H(X, Y|Z) = H(X|Z) + H(Y|X, Z) \\ \geq H(X|Z)$$

equality iff $H(Y|X, Z) = 0$ which is equivalent to Y is ~~independent~~ a function of X & Z .

$$(b) \quad I(X, Y; Z) = I(X; Z) + I(Y; Z|X) \\ \geq I(X; Z)$$

equality iff $I(Y; Z|X) = 0$ which is equivalent to Y & Z are independent given X .

$$(c) \quad H(X, Y, Z) - H(X, Y) \leq H(X, Z) - H(X) \quad (1)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow H(Z|XY) \leq H(Z|X)$$

but this is always true, hence (1) is always true.

Equality iff $H(Z|XY) = H(Z|X)$,

$$\text{i.e., if } P_{Z|XY} = P_{Z|X}$$

or equivalently $Y \rightarrow X \rightarrow Z$

$$(d) \quad I(X; Z|Y) \geq I(Z; Y|X) - I(Z; Y) + I(X; Z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I(X; Z|Y) + I(Z; Y) \geq I(Z; Y|X) + I(X; Z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I(X, Y; Z) \geq I(X; Z)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow I(X, Y; Z) \geq I(X, Y; Z)$$

This is always true, and equality always holds.

Q 231) $H(X|Y) = H(X|Y, g(Y))$
 $\leq H(X|g(Y))$

with equality if $H(X|Y, g(Y)) = H(X|g(Y))$,

i.e., iff

$$H(X) - H(X|Y, g(Y)) = H(X) - H(X|g(Y))$$

iff

$$I(X; Y, g(Y)) = I(X; g(Y))$$

iff

$$I(X; Y, g(Y)) - I(X; g(Y)) = 0$$

iff

$$I(X; Y|g(Y)) = 0$$

iff

$$Y \rightarrow g(Y) \rightarrow X.$$

P 2.34) Say $X_0 - X_1 - X_2 - \dots - X_n - X_{n+1}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } H(X_0 | X_n) &= H(X_0 | X_n, X_{n+1}) \\ &\leq H(X_0 | X_{n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

P 2.36) Let $P = \left(\frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{2}{3} \right)$
 $Q = \left(\frac{2}{3} \quad \frac{1}{3} \right)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } D(P \| Q) &= \frac{1}{3} \log \frac{1/3}{2/3} + \frac{2}{3} \log \frac{2/3}{1/3} \\ &= D(Q \| P) \end{aligned}$$

P 2.37) $E \left[\log \frac{P(X, Y, Z)}{P(X)P(Y)P(Z)} \right]$

$$= E \left[\log \frac{1}{P(X)} + \log \frac{1}{P(Y)} + \log \frac{1}{P(Z)} - \log \frac{1}{P(X, Y, Z)} \right]$$

$$= H(X) + H(Y) + H(Z) - H(X, Y, Z)$$

$$\geq 0$$

with equality iff X & Y & Z are independent.

P2.39

a) $H(X, Y, Z) = H(X) + H(Y|X) + H(Z|XY)$

Now, $H(X) = H(Y) = H(Z) = 1$

Also, since $P(X; Y) = 0$, $H(Y) = H(Y|X)$

$\Rightarrow H(Y|X) = 1$

There are no constraints on $H(Z|XY)$, so
let's pick it to be as small as possible, i.e., $= 0$.

$\Rightarrow H(X, Y, Z) \geq 1 + 1 = 2$

b) Let X, Y be iid Bernoulli($1/2$).

Let $Z = X + Y \pmod{2}$.

P2.40)

$$a) H(x) = 8 \times \frac{1}{8} \log_2 \frac{1}{8} = 3$$

$$b) H(Y) = \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \log_2 \left(\frac{1}{2^{-l}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} l 2^{-l}$$

$$= \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} l \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)^l$$

$$= \frac{1/2}{(1-1/2)^2} = 2$$

c) Because $(X+Y, X-Y)$ is a function of (X, Y) ,
and (X, Y) is a function of $(X+Y, X-Y)$,

$$H(X+Y, X-Y) = H(X, Y)$$

$$= H(X) + H(Y)$$

$$= 3 + 2$$

$$= 5$$

P2.41) a) $I(X; Q, A)$

$$= \underbrace{I(X; Q)}_{=0} + I(X; A|Q)$$

$= 0$
since Q
and X
are independent

$$= H(A|Q) + \underbrace{H(A|Q, X)}$$

$= 0$ since $A = A(Q, X)$

$$= H(A|Q)$$

We interpret this as saying that, given the distribution of the questions, the more uncertainty there is in the answers, the more informative the answers are.

b) $I(X; Q_1, A_1, Q_2, A_2)$

$$= I(X; Q_1, A_1) + I(X; Q_2, A_2 | Q_1, A_1)$$

$$= H(A_1 | Q_1) + I(X; Q_2 | Q_1, A_1) \} \geq 0$$

$$+ I(X; A_2 | Q_2, Q_1, A_1)$$

$$= H(A_1 | Q_1) + 0 + H(A_2 | Q_2, Q_1, A_1) + \underbrace{H(A_2 | Q_2, Q_1, A_1, X)}_{=0}$$

$$= H(A_1 | Q_1) + H(A_2 | Q_2, Q_1, A_1)$$

$$\leq H(A_1 | Q_1) + H(A_2 | Q_2)$$

$$= H(A_1 | Q_1) + H(A_1 | Q_1) = 2I(X; Q_1, A_1)$$

p 2.42)

$$(a) \text{ since } X = (5X) \div 5 \\ \text{and } 5X = (X) \cdot 5 \\ H(X) = H(5X)$$

$$(b) \begin{aligned} I(X; Y) &= H(Y) - H(Y|X) \\ &= H(Y) - H(Y|X, g(X)) \\ &\geq H(Y) - H(Y|g(X)) \\ &= I(g(X); Y) \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) H(X_0|X_{-1}) \geq H(X_0|X_1, X_{-2})$$

$$(d) \begin{aligned} H(X, Y) &= H(X) + H(Y|X) \\ &\leq H(X) + H(Y) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{H(X, Y)}{H(X) + H(Y)} \leq 1$$