

Math 1002 Assignment 2 Solutions.

1. c) $\sup\{2,7\} = 7$
 $\inf\{2,7\} = 2$

b) $\sup(0,1) = 1$
 $\inf(0,1) = 0$

e) $\sup\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 1$
 $\inf\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 0$

f) $\sup\{0\} = 0$
 $\inf\{0\} = 0$

j) $\sup\{1 - \frac{1}{3^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = 1$
 $\inf\{1 - \frac{1}{3^n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \frac{2}{3}$

k) $\sup\{n + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \infty$ (or sup DNE)

$\inf\{n + \frac{(-1)^n}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \inf\{0, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{8}{3}, \dots\} = 0$

m) $\sup\{r \in \mathbb{Q} : r^2 < 4\} = 2$

$\inf\{r \in \mathbb{Q} : r^2 < 4\} = -2$

t) $\sup\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 < 8\} = 2$

$\inf\{x \in \mathbb{R} : x^3 < 8\} = -\infty$ (or inf DNE)

2. a) Suppose S is a nonempty bounded subset of \mathbb{R} . Then since S is bounded above and below, $\inf S$ and $\sup S$ are real numbers.

If $s \in S$, then $\inf S \leq s$ and $\sup S \geq s$. Hence

$$\inf S \leq s \leq \sup S \Rightarrow \inf S \leq \sup S$$

b) If $\inf S = \sup S = M$, then we must have $S = \{M\}$.

Proof: We prove that $S \subseteq \{M\}$ and $\{M\} \subseteq S$.

$S \subseteq \{M\}$: Suppose $s \in S$. Then there are three possibilities.

$s < M$: In this case M is not a lower bound for S , a contradiction

$s > M$: In this case M is not an upper bound for S , a contradiction

The only other possibility is $s = M \Rightarrow S \subseteq \{M\}$

Since S is assumed to be nonempty, this actually proves $S = \{M\}$, because $\{M\}$ is the only nonempty subset of $\{M\}$. ▣

3. Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$. We show $\sup(-\infty, a) = a$.

(i) First we show a is an upper bound.

This is by definition of $(-\infty, a)$, as $x \in (-\infty, a) \Leftrightarrow x < a$

(ii)' Now, suppose $M < a$. We need to find some element of $(-\infty, a)$ which is larger than M .

Since $M < a$, the open interval (M, a) contains a rational number $r \in (M, a)$ by denseness of \mathbb{Q} . Then

$M < r < a$. Since $r < a$, $r \in (-\infty, a)$. Since $r > M$, we have satisfied (ii)'.

Since (i) and (ii)' are both satisfied, $\sup(-\infty, a) = a$.

4. This is straight forward using the (ii) version of the definition I told you to formulate. Since I didn't state it,

here it is:

$m = \inf S$ if two things hold

(i) m is a lower bound for S , and

(ii) If m' is a lower bound for S , then $m' \leq m$.

This should be justified from the textbook def:

$m = \inf S$ if two things hold

(i) m is a lower bound for S , and

(ii)' If $x > m$, then there exists $s \in S$ with $s < x$.

Pf of (i) + (ii)' \Rightarrow (i) + (ii)

Suppose that (i) and (ii)' hold, and suppose m' is a lower bound for S . Aiming to get a contradiction, suppose $m' > m$. Then (ii)' \Rightarrow we can find $s \in S$ with $s < m'$, which contradicts m' being a lower bound. Hence $m' \leq m$.

With that proven, we can prove $\inf S$ is unique.

Suppose M and N are both greatest lower bounds of S .

(ii) $\Rightarrow M \leq N$, since N is the greatest lower bound

(ii) $\Rightarrow N \leq M$, since M is the greatest lower bound

$$\Rightarrow M = N \quad \square$$

Alternate proof: Can prove this just using (ii)', of course.

Suppose $\inf S = M$ and $\inf S = N$

Suppose $M < N$. Then since M is the inf, we can find $x \in S$ with $x < N$. This contradicts N being a lower bound, so $M \geq N$.

Suppose $N < M$. Then since N is the inf, we can find $x \in S$ with $x < M$. This contradicts M being a lower bound, so $N \leq M$.

$$\Rightarrow M = N$$

Suggested exercises

(i) since $-3 < x < 4$ for all $x \in (-3, 4)$, 4 is an upper bound.

Now, suppose $M < 4$. There are two cases

Case 1: $M \leq -3$

In this case, $x = 0$ is in $(-3, 4)$ and satisfies $M < x$.

Case 2: $M > -3$

In this case, denseness of \mathbb{Q} implies existence of a rational number r between M and 4, $M < r < 4$.

Since $-3 < M < r < 4$, we have $r \in (-3, 4)$ and $M < r$.

In both cases, we are able to find $x \in (-3, 4)$ with $M < x$.

Hence $\sup(-3, 4) = 4$

(ii) The proof works exactly the same as in Q2 on Tutorial 2. The difference between $[a, \infty)$ and (a, ∞) doesn't change the proof at all.

(iii) Let $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a < b$. Prove there are infinitely many rationals between a and b .

Pf: Suppose that the result is false, and that there are only finitely many elements in $\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid a < r < b\} := A$.

Since A is finite, it has a minimum. Let $R = \min A$. Then R is rational, and $a < R < b$. Since $a < R$, denseness of \mathbb{Q} implies existence of a rational r such that $a < r < R$. But then this implies $r \in A$, and $R > r$, contradicting that R was the min. Hence A must be infinite.

$$(iv) a) \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{7}, \frac{1}{10}, \frac{1}{13}, \frac{1}{16}$$

$$b) \frac{4}{3}, \frac{7}{7}, \frac{10}{11}, \frac{13}{15}, \frac{16}{19}$$

$$c) \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{9}, \frac{3}{27}, \frac{4}{81}, \frac{5}{243}$$

$$d) \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 1, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, 0, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}$$