

Extra Problems for Chapter 1

1. Let $W = \{1, 2\}$. Construct a relation B on W that is neither reflexive nor irreflexive. (Express the relation B using a table with two rows and two columns.)
2. Prove that, if a relation B on a set W is asymmetric, then it is also irreflexive. [Hint: For a proof by contradiction, suppose that $x B x$ and set $y = x$ in the definition of *asymmetric*.]
3. Let $W = \{1, 2\}$. Construct (in tabular form) relations $B_1, B_2,$ and B_3 on W so that B_1 is reflexive and symmetric, B_2 is irreflexive and symmetric, and B_3 is symmetric, but neither reflexive nor irreflexive. Your answers should be relations that are neither empty (i.e., contain no pair $(x, y) \in W \times W$) nor exhaustive (contain every pair $(x, y) \in W \times W$).
4. Prove that, if a relation B on a set W is complete, then it is also reflexive.
5. Let $W = \{1, 2, \dots, 10\}$ and define the relation M (“is a multiple of” on W by $x | y$ (pronounced “ x divides y ”) if and only if there exists an integer k so that $y = kx$. For example, $2 M 6$ because $6 = 3 \times 2$ but $\neg(3 M 7)$ because there is no integer k so that $7 = k3$. Show that
 - (a) M is a reflexive relation.
 - (b) M is an antisymmetric relation.
 - (c) M is not a complete relation. (Hint: Find a counterexample!)
 - (d) Is M a transitive relation? Either prove that it is, or find a counterexample.
 - (e) Of the four special orderings in *Definition 1.3*, which, if any, applies to M ?
6. Let $W = \{a, b, c, d\}$ and suppose that a DM’s preference on W is given by $b \sim d \succ a \succ c$. Suppose that the DM has a von Neumann-Morgenstern utility function $u: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and that $u(a) = 10$ and $u(b) = 20$. What can you deduce about $u(c)$ and $u(d)$?
7. In a static non-stochastic decision problem, a DM must choose an action from the set $A = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. The possible outcomes are as in #6, and the link between actions and outcomes is given by the function $f: A \rightarrow W$ defined by $f(1) = c, f(2) = a, f(3) = d, f(4) = d,$ and $f(5) = b$. Find *all* optimal actions for the DM.
8. With reference to the outcomes of #6, consider the lotteries

$$L_1 = \langle a, 0.2; b, 0.3; c, 0.4, d, 0.1 \rangle,$$

$$L_2 = \langle a, 0.6; b, 0; c, 0.4, d, 0 \rangle,$$

$$L_3 = \langle a, 0; b, 0.6; c, 0.4, d, 0 \rangle.$$
 For $i = 1, 2,$ and 3 , calculate the expected utility, $E[u(L_i)]$. Use any information you deduced about $u(c)$ and $u(d)$ in #6. Determine, if possible, the DM’s preference relation among the lotteries. Which one does the DM prefer?

9. You are going to win a prize: \$20, \$200, or a set of cookware. You are risk-neutral with respect to money, so your utility for a gain of \$ x is $u(\$x) = x$. The specific prize you win will be determined by lottery, and you may choose the lottery. The possibilities are
- $$L_1 = \langle \$20, 0.4; \text{cookware}, 0.2; \$200, 0.4 \rangle,$$
- $$L_2 = \langle \$20, 0.3; \text{cookware}, 0.4; \$200, 0.3 \rangle.$$
- You feel indifferent between L_1 and L_2 . You are therefore indifferent between the cookware and what amount of money?
10. You have won a prize, which will be either a car, a bicycle, or a pair of roller blades. Your preference is Car \succ Bicycle \succ Roller Blades. Moreover, you feel indifferent between the Bicycle (for certain) and the lottery $L = \langle \text{car}, 0.2; \text{roller blades}, 0.8 \rangle$. Assuming that all appropriate axioms are satisfied, find a von Neumann-Morgenstern utility for your preferences. Express the utility in 0-1 normalization.