

Name: _____ Last (Family) Name: _____

Section: ____

WILFRID LAURIER UNIVERSITY

Waterloo, Ontario

Mathematics 121 – Introduction to Mathematical Proofs

Midterm 1 – October 18, 2017

(SOLUTIONS)

Instructors:

Dr. R. Rundle: Section A - 10:00 a.m.

Dr. P. Zhang: Section B - 11:30 a.m.

Time Allowed: *80 minutes*

Total Value: *85 marks*

Number of Pages: *4 plus cover page*

Instructions:

No calculators are allowed. No other aids are allowed.

Check that your test paper has no missing, blank, or illegible pages.

Answer in the spaces provided. Please note that questions are printed on both sides of the page.

Show all your work. Insufficient justification will result in a loss of marks.

Student Number: _____

[5 marks] 1. Let $U = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$ be the universal set. Let $A = \{a, b, c\}$, $B = \{b, c, d\}$ and $C = \{c, d, e\}$. Determine:

- (a) $(A \cup B) \cap C = \{c, d\}$
- (b) $A \cap B \cap C = \{c\}$
- (c) $A^c \cup (B^c \cap C^c) = \{a, d, e\}$
- (d) $(A - (A \cap B)) \cup \{\emptyset\} = \{a, \emptyset\}$
- (e) $(A \cap B) - (A \cup B) = \emptyset$

[8 marks] 2. (a) Complete the following truth tables.

p	q	$p \wedge \sim q$	$\sim p \vee p$	$\sim p \wedge q$	$p \rightarrow q$	$\sim (q \rightarrow p)$	$p \rightarrow \sim q$	$\sim q \rightarrow \sim p$	$\sim (q \wedge \sim q)$
T	T	F	T	\mathbf{F}	T	F	F	\mathbf{T}	T
T	F	T	\mathbf{T}	F	F	\mathbf{F}	T	F	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	\mathbf{T}
F	F	\mathbf{F}	T	F	\mathbf{T}	F	\mathbf{T}	T	T

[4 marks] (b) According to the truth tables above in part (a), determine whether each of the following statements is TRUE or FALSE. Circle your answer.

- 1) $(\sim (\sim q \wedge q)) \Leftrightarrow (p \vee \sim p)$ (TRUE / FALSE)
- 2) $(\sim p \wedge q) \Leftrightarrow (p \wedge \sim q)$ (TRUE / FALSE)
- 3) $\sim p \wedge q \Leftrightarrow \sim (q \rightarrow p)$ (TRUE / FALSE)
- 4) $(\sim q \rightarrow \sim p) \Leftrightarrow (p \rightarrow q)$ (TRUE / FALSE)

[4 marks] 3. (a) Complete the truth table of the compound statement with the the disjunctive normal form

$$(p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (p \wedge q \wedge \sim r) \vee (p \wedge \sim q \wedge \sim r) \vee (p \wedge \sim q \wedge r) \vee (\sim p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (\sim p \wedge q \wedge \sim r) \vee (\sim p \wedge \sim q \wedge \sim r).$$

p	q	r	statement
T	T	T	\mathbf{T}
T	T	F	T
T	F	T	\mathbf{T}
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	\mathbf{T}
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	\mathbf{F}
F	F	F	T

[3 marks] (b) Simplify the statement in part (a) to an **equivalent** compound **conditional** statement that has the simplest expression in which each of p , q and r appears **only once**.

Answer: $\sim (\sim p \wedge \sim q \wedge r) \Leftrightarrow p \vee q \vee \sim r \Leftrightarrow r \rightarrow (p \vee q)$

[7 marks] 4. Let the set of positive integers \mathbb{N} be the universal set (universe of discourse) for N . Let the set of real numbers \mathbb{R} be the universal set for x . Let $p(N, x)$ denote the mathematical statement

$$f(x) > N.$$

(a) Convert the following quantified logical statement **S** into a **mathematical** statement.

$$\mathbf{S}: \quad \forall N [\exists x [p(N, x)]]$$

The mathematical statement that it represents is :

Answer: For each positive integer N , there exists some real number x such that $f(x) > N$.

(b) Write a logical statement that is equivalent to the **negation** of the quantified statement **S**, in which the expression $\sim p(N, x)$ appears.

Answer: $\sim \forall N [\exists x [p(N, x)]] \Leftrightarrow \exists N [\sim \exists x [p(N, x)]] \Leftrightarrow \exists N [\forall x [\sim p(N, x)]]$

(c) Translate the final answer in part (b) into a **mathematical** statement.

Answer: There exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $f(x) \leq N$.

[10 marks] 5. Let S be the following statement in set theory:

$$\text{If } A \subset B, \text{ then } (A \cup B) - (A \cap B) \neq \emptyset.$$

Complete the following sentences.

(a) S is a TRUE (TRUE or FALSE) statement.

(b) The **converse** of the statement S is:

----- **Answer:** *If $(A \cup B) - (A \cap B) \neq \emptyset$, then $A \subset B$.* ----- .

(c) The **converse** of the statement S is a FALSE (TRUE or FALSE) statement.

(d) The **contrapositive** of S is a TRUE (TRUE or FALSE) statement, which is

----- **Answer:** *If $(A \cup B) - (A \cap B) = \emptyset$, then $A \not\subset B$.* ----- .

(e) The **negation** of the statement S is a FALSE (TRUE or FALSE) statement, which IS (IS or IS NOT) equivalent to the statement:

There are sets A and B such that $A \subset B$ and $B - A = \emptyset$.

(f) The fact that

$$\mathbb{N} \subset \mathbb{Z} \text{ and so } \mathbb{Z} - \mathbb{N} \neq \emptyset$$

is an example (an **example** or a **counterexample**) for the statement of S .

(g) The example in part (f) alone DOES NOT PROVE (PROVES or DOES NOT PROVE) the statement S .

(h) The **converse** statement of the **contrapositive** of S HAS (HAS or HAS NO) **counterexamples**.

[8 marks] 6. (a) Write a **constructive proof** of the statement:

There exists an integer n such that for any integer m , either $mn \geq m - n$ or $mn \geq n - m$.

Proof 1. Let $n = 0$. It follows that, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $mn = m \cdot 0 = 0$.

If $m \geq 0$, then $-m \leq 0$, and hence $mn = 0 \geq -m = 0 - m = n - m$.

If $m < 0$, $mn = 0 \geq m = m - 0 = m - n$. □

[An alternative argument: Since $0 - m = -(m - 0)$, one of the integers $0 - m$ and $m - 0$ must be ≥ 0 and the other ≤ 0 . Therefore either $mn = 0 \geq m - n$ or $mn = 0 \geq n - m$.]

Proof 2. Let $n = 1$. It follows that $mn = m \cdot 1 = m$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Therefore $mn = m \geq m - 1 = m - n$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Thus it is true that, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, either $mn \geq m - n$ or $mn \geq n - m$. □

(b) Use the method of **proof by contradiction** to write a **nonconstructive proof** of the same statement in part (a).

[Hint: Assume first that for any integer n , there exists an integer m such the the statement “either $mn \geq m - n$ or $mn \geq n - m$ ” is false.]

Proof. Assume that for any integer n , there exists an integer m such that *both* $mn < m - n$ and $mn < n - m$.

For $n = 0$, in particular, this would mean that there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that both $0 = mn < m - n = m$ and $0 = mn < n - m = -m$.

This is absurd (since it would mean that $m > 0 > m$).

The statement is true. □

[An alternative argument: For $n = 1$, in particular, this would mean that there exists $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that both $m = mn < m - n = m - 1$ and $m = mn < n - m = 1 - m$.

It would follow from $m < m - 1$ that $0 < -1$, absurd.]

7. **Prove or disprove** the following statements. Write **all necessary steps**. In each case, indicate first **which method** from the following four methods you are using:

- 1) *Direct proof of implication*
- 2) *Proof of the contrapositive of implication*
- 3) *Indirect proof of implication by contradiction*
- 4) *Disproof of implication by counterexample*

[5 marks]

(a) Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

If n is odd, then $n^2 + n + 3$ is odd.

The method: --- (1) ---

Proof. Suppose that n is odd; that is, $n = 2k + 1$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then $n^2 + n + 3 = (2k + 1)^2 + (2k + 1) + 3 = 4k^2 + 4k + 1 + 2k + 4 = 2(2k^2 + 2k + 2) + 1$ is odd because $2k^2 + 2k + 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

[4 marks]

(b) Let a and b be real numbers.

If $a > 0$ and $b > 0$, then $\sqrt{ab} \geq \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$.

The method: --- (4) ---

Solution. Let $a = 2 > 0$ and $b = 8 > 0$.

$\sqrt{ab} = \sqrt{2 \times 8} = 4$ while $\frac{1}{2}(a + b) = \frac{1}{2}(2 + 8) = 5$.

In this case $\sqrt{ab} \not\geq \frac{1}{2}(a + b)$.

[5 marks]

(c) Let a and b be real numbers.

If $\sqrt{(a - b)^2} = b - a$, then $a \leq b$.

The method: -- (1) --

Proof. Let $\sqrt{(a - b)^2} = b - a$.

Since $\sqrt{(a - b)^2} = |a - b|$, we have $|a - b| = b - a = -(a - b)$.

This implies that $a - b \leq 0$. Therefore $a \leq b$. □

[6 marks]

(d) Let A and B be subsets of a universal set U .

If $A^c \cap B = A \cup B^c$, then $A = \emptyset$.

The method: --3--

Proof. Assume that $A^c \cap B = A \cup B^c$ and $A \neq \emptyset$.

Then there exists $x \in A$.

Note that $A \subseteq A \cup B^c = A^c \cap B \subseteq A^c$.

So $x \in A$ implies that $x \in A^c$ as well; that is $A \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$.

The absurdness shows that the statement is true. □

[8 marks] 8. Prove that for any sets A , B and C ,

$$A \cap (B - C) \subseteq (A \cap B) - (A \cap C).$$

Proof.

Let $x \in A \cap (B - C)$.

$$\begin{aligned} x &\in A \cap (B - C) \\ \implies x &\in A \text{ and } x \in (B - C) \\ \implies x &\in A \text{ and } (x \in B \text{ and } x \notin C) \\ \implies (x &\in A \text{ and } x \in B) \text{ and } x \notin C \\ \implies x &\in A \cap B \text{ and } x \notin A \cap C \quad (\text{because } A \cap C \subseteq C) \\ \implies x &\in (A \cap B) - (A \cap C) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $A \cap (B - C) \subseteq (A \cap B) - (A \cap C)$.

[8 marks] 9. Use the Principle of **Mathematical Induction** to prove that, for all natural numbers $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the number $n(n + 1)(n + 2)$ is divisible by 3; that is, $n(n + 1)(n + 2) = 3m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof.

It is true for $n = 1$ since $1(1 + 1)(1 + 2) = 6 = 3 \times 2$, a multiple of 3.

Assume that it is true for some $n = k$ with $k \geq 1$; that is,

$$k(k + 1)(k + 2) = 3m \quad \text{for some integer } m.$$

It then follows that if $n = k + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(k + 1)[(k + 1) + 1][(k + 1) + 2] \\ &= (k + 1)(k + 2)(k + 3) \\ &= (k + 3)(k + 1)(k + 2) \\ &= k(k + 1)(k + 2) + 3(k + 1)(k + 2) \\ &= 3m + 3(k + 1)(k + 2) \\ &= 3[m + (k + 1)(k + 2)], \end{aligned}$$

where $m + (k + 1)(k + 2)$ is an integer.

Therefore the number $n(n + 1)(n + 2)$ is a multiple of 3 for any positive integer n by induction.