

Lecture 3-1348

Last time Truth Tables, be able to calculate the TT of a given formula. Today, Section 1.2

Def ~~Two~~ Let X be a formula in PL.

X is a tautology if every it is T in every row of its truth table.

Ex: $p \vee \neg p$

p	$\neg p$	$p \vee \neg p$
T	F	T
F	T	T

$(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$

p	q	$p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	$p \rightarrow q$	$p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)$	$(p \wedge (p \rightarrow q)) \rightarrow q$
T	T		T	T	T
T	F		F	F	T
F	T		T	F	T
F	F		T	F	T

Others?

$$p \rightarrow \neg \neg p$$

$$\neg \neg p \rightarrow p$$

$$p \leftrightarrow \neg \neg p$$

$$(p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (q \wedge p)$$

$$(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (q \vee p)$$

$$p \wedge q \rightarrow p$$

$$p \wedge q \rightarrow q$$

$$p \rightarrow p \vee q$$

$$q \rightarrow p \vee q$$

Exercise Come up w/ more.

2

Defn

Two formulas X and Y are equivalent or logically equivalent if they have the same truth table.

Ex

$p \wedge q$ is equiv to $q \wedge p$

$p \vee q$ " " " $q \vee p$

$p \wedge (q \wedge r)$ " " " $(p \wedge q) \wedge r$

p " " " $p \wedge p$

$\neg(p \wedge q)$ " " " $\neg p \vee \neg q$

$\neg(p \vee q)$ " " " $\neg p \wedge \neg q$

$p \wedge (q \vee r)$ " " " $(p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$

$p \vee (q \wedge r)$ " " " $(p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$

If you don't believe me, feel free to check!!

$p \rightarrow q$ " " " $\neg p \vee q$

$p \rightarrow q$ " " " $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

Contrapositive law.

If it is raining, then the sun is cancelled
is the same as

If the sun is not cancelled, it is not raining,

3

$p \wedge p$ is the same as p
 $p \vee p$ " " " " p

These are called the "idempotent laws".

How do you show two formulas equiv?

Obvious method: Write out the truth tables.

Ex: Show $\neg(p \rightarrow q)$ is equiv to $p \wedge \neg q$

p	q	$p \rightarrow q$	$\neg(p \rightarrow q)$
T	T	T	F
T	F	F	T
F	T	T	F
F	F	T	F

p	q	$\neg q$	$p \wedge \neg q$
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	T
F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F

SAME

Other method: Use de Morgan's and other basic rules:

$$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \vee q) = \neg p \wedge \neg q$$

$$\neg(p \rightarrow q) \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee q) \equiv \neg\neg p \wedge \neg q$$

since

$$p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \vee q$$

de Morgan

$$\equiv p \wedge \neg q$$

$$\text{since } \neg\neg p \equiv p$$

Note: This is frequently much easier, especially if there are many variables, e.g.

$$\text{Show } p \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv \neg(\neg p \vee (q \wedge \neg r))$$

$$\neg(\neg p \vee (q \wedge \neg r)) \stackrel{\text{dM}}{\equiv} \neg\neg p \wedge \neg(q \wedge \neg r)$$

$$\stackrel{\uparrow}{\equiv} p \wedge \neg(q \wedge \neg r) \stackrel{\uparrow}{\equiv} p \wedge (\neg q \vee \neg\neg r) \stackrel{\uparrow}{\equiv} p \wedge (\neg q \vee r)$$

$\neg\neg p \equiv p$ \uparrow dM \uparrow $\neg\neg r \equiv r$

$$\equiv p \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \quad \square$$

Note: The only problem with this approach is that it can be sometimes tricky to find the string of equivalences. The TT method is mindless, and ~~never~~ never fails.

Q: 1) How do you show a formula is h.t
a tautology?

2) How do you show two formulas are not equivalent?

$$\text{Ex: } \textcircled{1} X = p \rightarrow (p \wedge (p \rightarrow q))$$

Is it a tautology? No.

Stupid method. Write out entire truth table. Look for a row with an F.

Smarter method: Just find the ~~row~~^{row} with an F.

In this case, when $p = T$ and $q = F$,

X is false

How did I find this?

② $Y = p \wedge (\text{really long formula})$
with 37 variables.

Solo: Any time $p = F$, the formula is false.

③ ~~Is~~ $X = p \wedge (p \rightarrow (q \wedge r))$ equiv to

$$Y = p \rightarrow r$$

(2)

Solo If $p=T, q=F, r=T$, then X is false
and Y is true.

These are great exam questions!

Q: Suppose I give you the following

p	q	r	X
T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F
T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F
F	T	T	F
F	T	F	T
F	F	T	T
F	F	F	F

Find a formula X with this as its truth table!

See Q42, p29. Also notes will be on website.

Facts ① One can ~~always~~ ^{always} find such an X , no matter how many variables.

② ~~There are lots of~~ ^{there are lots of}

In general, there are lots

Method for finding them (It never fails).

⑦

This is called the Disjunctive normal form

Step 1 - Circle all rows in which X is T.

Step 2. For each row,
~~Write~~ the conjunction of all ~~atoms~~ (3)
atoms, with a negation if the atom is F in
that row. With no negation, if it is T
in that row. So, here, I get

$$\begin{aligned} & p \wedge q \wedge r \\ & \neg p \wedge q \wedge r \\ & \neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r \end{aligned}$$

Step 3 - Take the disjunction of all of these
smaller formulae

$$X = (p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge q \wedge r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge r)$$

This is it.

Why does it work? Think about it.