

A solution to Assignment 3

**Please check the revised solution for Q5(e) with explanation.**

1. The language  $L_a$  is regular but  $L_b$  and  $L_c$  are not.
  - (a). For this we provide a FA for  $L_a$ . Let  $M$  be a DFA for the regular language  $L$  used to define  $L_a$ . We then duplicate  $M$  and construct an FA  $M'$  for  $L_a$  as follows. Let us call these two copies of  $M$  as  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ . Place  $M_1$  below  $M_2$ . Connect every state  $q_i$  in  $M_1$  to its corresponding state  $q_i$  in  $M_2$  with a new transition with label  $a$ . Remove the initial state designation from  $q_0$  in  $M_2$  and let the initial state  $q_0$  in  $M_1$  be the initial state of  $M'$ . Remove the final state designation from every final state in  $M_1$ . The final state(s) in  $M_2$  will be the final state(s) of  $M'$ . If  $w$  is any string in  $L$  with  $w=uv$  for all substrings  $u$  and  $v$  in  $\Sigma^*$ , then  $M'$  processes substring  $u$  through  $M_1$  (the upper copy of the DFA  $M$ ) and reaches some state  $q_j$ . The process then continues following the transition with label  $a$  added from  $q_j$  to its corresponding state  $q_j$  in  $M_2$ , (the lower copy of  $M$ ) and then substring  $v$  will be processed through the lower part and ends in some final state. That is, if the DA  $M$  accepts  $w$ , the FA  $M'$  defined above accepts the string  $uav$ , for all substring  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $w=uv$ .
  - (b). We will show that the set  $L_b$  of palindromes is not regular. Suppose it is regular. Then there exists a DFA  $M$  that accepts it. Furthermore, since  $L_b$  is infinite, then the pumping lemma applies. Let  $m$  be the number of states in  $M$ . Let us consider the string  $w = a^m b^m b^m a^m$  in  $L_b$ , whose length ( $4m$ ) is  $\geq m$ . Then, by P.L.,  $w$  can be decomposed into substrings  $x, y, z$  such that (1)  $|xy| \leq m$  (2)  $|y| \geq 1$  such that for all  $i \geq 0$ , string  $w_i = xy^i z$  is in  $L_b$ . This implies that the substring  $y$  consists of  $a$ 's only and in the first  $m$  symbols. That is  $y = a^k$ , for some  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . Now we pick  $i = 0$ , the string  $w_0 = a^{m-k} b^m b^m a^m$  is not in  $L_b$  but is accepted by  $M$ , which is a contradiction, and hence there is no DFA exists for  $L_b$ , which means  $L_b$  is not a regular language.
  - (c). Suppose  $L_c$  is a regular language. Then there exists a DFA  $M$  such that  $L = L(M)$ . Since  $L_c$  is infinite, the requirement specified in the pumping lemma applies. Let  $m$  be the number of states in  $M$ . Consider the string  $w = a^m b^m$  in  $L_c$ , whose length  $2m$  is  $\geq m$ . Then, by P.L., there are substrings  $x, y, z$  such that  $w = xyz$  such that  $|xy| \leq m$  and  $|y| \geq 1$  such that for every  $i \geq 0$ , the string  $w_i = xy^i z$  is in  $L_c$ . This implies that  $y$  consists of  $a$ 's only, i.e.,  $y = a^k$ , for some  $1 \leq k \leq m$ . If we pick  $i = 2$ , the string  $w_2 = a^{m+k} b$  will also be accepted by  $M$  however it does not belong to  $L_c$ . This means  $M$  is not a DFA for  $L_c$ , and since we did not make specific assumption about  $M$ , this implies no DFA exists for  $L_c$ , and hence it is not regular.
2. Let  $L$  be any CFL. Then there exists a CFG  $G$  that generates  $L$ . We modify  $G$  to obtain the CFG  $G'$  as follows. For every production  $A \rightarrow v$  in  $G$ , we

include in  $G'$  the production  $A \rightarrow v^R$ , where  $v^R$  is the reverse of the string  $v$ . We can use the induction technique on the length of strings  $w$  in  $L$  to show that if  $w \in L(G)$ , then  $w^R \in L(G')$ . This establishes that CFLs are closed under reversal.

Note: The argument would be easier to provide if the CFG  $G$  we consider for  $L$  is in Chomsky Normal Form (CNF). However, if  $\lambda$  is in  $L$ , we consider a CFG grammar  $G''$  in CNF for  $L - \{\lambda\}$  and then add the production  $S \rightarrow \lambda$  to be equivalent to  $G''$ .

3. (a). (i) Removing  $\lambda$ -productions. Since  $\lambda$  is generated by  $G$ , we can give a CFG in CNF only for  $L(G) - \{\lambda\}$ . Substituting  $A \rightarrow \lambda$  we get two new productions,  $A \rightarrow aa$  and  $S \rightarrow \lambda$ . Substituting the production  $S \rightarrow \lambda$  has no further effect, however as explained, we do not consider it further.

(ii) Removing unit-productions. We draw the dependency graph for the variable  $S, A, B$ , and  $C$  involved in unit productions. Analyzing the dependency graph, we find that  $S \Rightarrow^* A$ ,  $S \Rightarrow^* B$ , and  $C \Rightarrow^* B$ . Using this information, we remove  $S \rightarrow A$  and add  $S \rightarrow aaA$ , we remove  $S \rightarrow B$  and add  $S \rightarrow bB \mid bbC$ , and finally replace  $C \rightarrow B$  with  $C \rightarrow bB \mid bbC$ .

This yields the following grammar:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow aa \mid aaA \mid bB \mid bbC \\ A &\rightarrow aa \mid aaA \\ B &\rightarrow bB \mid bbC \\ C &\rightarrow bB \mid bbC \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Removing useless productions. Note that the only useful variables are  $S$  and  $A$ ;  $B$  and  $C$  are useless and hence every production that involves  $B$  or  $C$  is removed. This completes the pre-processing phase which yields the following CFG:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow aa \mid aaA \\ A &\rightarrow aa \mid aaA \end{aligned}$$

We are now ready to convert the resulting grammar above into CNF:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow XX \mid XXA \\ A &\rightarrow XX \mid XXA \\ X &\rightarrow a \end{aligned}$$

We introduce new variables  $X$  and  $Y$  to break the right hand side of productions which have more than two variables. This yields the following grammar in CNF for  $L(G) - \{\lambda\}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow XX \mid XY \\ Y &\rightarrow XA \\ A &\rightarrow XX \mid XY \\ X &\rightarrow a \end{aligned}$$

Remark: Note that the above grammar generates the language  $\{a^{2n} : n \geq 1\}$ , which is regular. A regular grammar for this language is  $S \rightarrow aaS \mid aa$ .

4. Assume that  $\lambda \notin L(G)$ . We will discuss later if this is not the case. Since  $G$  is a CFG, based on Theorem 6.7 on page 176 in the textbook, there is an equivalent CFG  $G'$  in Greibach normal form. That is, each production in  $G'$  is of the form  $A \rightarrow \alpha v$  where  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  and  $v \in V^*$ , i.e.,  $v$  consists of

variables only. We will show how to transform such productions into the required forms in this question.

Possible productions in  $G'$  (which is in Greibach NF) would be:

- (1a)  $A \rightarrow a$  ( $|v| = 0$ )
- (1b)  $A \rightarrow aB$  ( $|v| = 1$ )
- (1c)  $A \rightarrow aBC$  ( $|v| = 2$ )
- (1d)  $A \rightarrow aBCD$  ( $|v| = 3$ )
- ...

For each of these production types, we describe below how to transform it into the required form.

For every production of type 1a, we introduce a new variable, say  $V_i$ , and replace production of this type with the following two rules:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow aV_iV_i \\ V_i &\rightarrow \lambda \end{aligned}$$

For each production of type 1b, we introduce a new variable  $V_j$  and replace 1b with the following two productions:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow aBV_j \\ V_j &\rightarrow \lambda \end{aligned}$$

For productions in type 1c, they are already in a desired form.

For type 1d, we introduce a new variable  $V_k$  and replace the rule with:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow aBV_k \\ V_k &\rightarrow CD \end{aligned}$$

In general, for productions of the form  $A \rightarrow aB_1B_2 \cdots B_n$ , we introduce new variables  $C_1, \dots, C_{n-1}$  and replace these productions with the following ones:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow aB_1C_1 \\ C_1 &\rightarrow B_2C_2 \\ C_2 &\rightarrow B_3C_3 \\ &\dots \\ C_{n-1} &\rightarrow B_{n-1}B_n \end{aligned}$$

We next consider the case when  $\lambda \in L(G)$ . For this we first consider the language  $L(G) - \{\lambda\}$  and perform the above transformation. Recall that this is possible since  $L(G) - \{\lambda\}$  does not include  $\lambda$  so we can get an equivalent CFG  $G'$  in Greibach NF.

Next, we introduce a new start variable  $S'$  and add the rule  $S' \rightarrow S$  to the resulting grammar in which  $S$  is the start variable. This newly added production is not in the required form. To fix this, we introduce a new variable  $X$  and replace  $S' \rightarrow S$  with the following three rules:

$$\begin{aligned} S' &\rightarrow SX \mid \lambda \\ X &\rightarrow \lambda \end{aligned}$$

5. The languages given in parts (1), (2) and (5) are CF, but (3) and (4) are not. Below we give CFGs for 1,2,and 5.

- (1).  $S \rightarrow AB$   
 $B \rightarrow aBa \mid bBb \mid aAa \mid bAb$   
 $A \rightarrow aa \mid ab \mid ba \mid bb$

$$(2). \quad S \rightarrow aSa|bSb|a|b|\lambda$$

- (5). **Revised** We distinguish two different types of strings  $w_1cw_2$  in  $L_e$  as follows. (1) Strings in which either  $w_1 = \lambda$  or  $w_2 = \lambda$ , but not both. (2) strings in which neither  $w_1$  nor  $w_2$  is  $\lambda$ . Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be the start symbols to generate strings of type (1) and (2), respectively. We define and use a variable  $T$  that generates a terminal symbol and  $W$  that generate any string in  $\{a, b\}^*$ . Thus we have the following rule so far:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow S_1 | S_2 \\ W &\rightarrow WT | \lambda \\ T &\rightarrow a | b \end{aligned}$$

The following rules generates strings of the form (1).

$$S_1 \rightarrow WTc | cWT$$

The idea for generating strings of type (2) is to ensure that  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  have different symbols at least at position  $i$ , for any  $i \geq 1$ . This in turn means that every string  $w_1cw_2$  of type 2 will be either of the form (I)  $T^{i-1}awcT^{i-1}bz$  or (II)  $T^{i-1}bwcT^{i-1}az$ , where  $w$  and  $z$  are any strings in  $\{a, b\}^*$ . Thus, we get the following additional rules:

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &\rightarrow XbW | YaW \\ X &\rightarrow TXT | aWc \\ Y &\rightarrow TYT | bWc \end{aligned}$$

Now that it is clear how this grammar works, we can get rid of the variables  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  and their associated rules. This yields the following grammar for  $L_2$  with 12 rules:

$$\begin{aligned} S &\rightarrow WTc | cWT | XbW | YaW \\ X &\rightarrow TXT | aWc \\ Y &\rightarrow TYT | bWc \\ W &\rightarrow WT | \lambda \\ T &\rightarrow a | b \end{aligned}$$