

Assignment 1

Question 1

1. It is cold outside or I am Canadian, or both
2. It is not January and it is not cold outside
3. I am Canadian and if it is January then it is not cold outside
4. Either it is cold outside or I am Canadian, not both

Question 2

a)

- Let c be "I like computer Science"
- Let t be "I like teaching"
- Let e be "I like email"

$$c \wedge t \wedge \neg e$$

b)

- Let e be "It is early"
- Let c be "I need to drink coffee"

$$e \rightarrow c$$

c)

- Let b be "I was born in Canada"

$$b \oplus \neg b$$

d)

- Let a be "I am your instructor".
- Let b be "You are a Carleton University Student"

$$a \leftrightarrow b$$

Question 3

- a) $(2 < 4) \wedge (4 < 6) \wedge (6 < 2)$
 $T \wedge (4 < 6) \wedge (6 < 2)$

$T \wedge F \wedge (6 < 2)$
 $T \wedge F \wedge F$
 $T \wedge F$ Idempotent
 F Negation

b) $(1 = 2) \vee (2 > 1)$

$F \vee (2 > 1)$
 $F \vee T$
 T Negation

c) If $9 = 8$ then your instructor is actually Nyarlathotep

$F \rightarrow$ your instructor is actually Nyarlathotep
 $F \rightarrow F$
 $T \vee F$ Conditional Equivalence
 T Idempotent

d) If $8 = 8$ then either $8 = 2^3$ or $8 = 2^2$

$T \rightarrow (T \oplus F)$
 $T \rightarrow T$
 $F \vee T$ Conditional Equivalence
 T Idempotent

Question 4

$$(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (q \vee \neg r) \equiv \neg[\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)] \wedge [(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)]$$

Question 5

$$\neg[\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)] \wedge [(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)]$$

$$\neg[\neg\neg(p \vee q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)] \wedge [(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)]$$

De Morgan's Law

$$\neg\neg[\neg(p \vee q) \vee (q \vee \neg r)] \wedge [(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)]$$

De Morgan's Law

$$\neg\neg[(p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \vee \neg r)] \wedge [(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)]$$

Implication Equivalence

$$[(p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \vee \neg r)] \wedge [(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)]$$

Double Negation

$$[(p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \vee \neg r)] \wedge [\neg(p \vee q) \rightarrow \neg(p \vee \neg r)]$$

De Morgan's Law

$$[(p \vee q) \rightarrow (q \vee \neg r)] \wedge [(p \vee q) \leftarrow (p \vee \neg r)]$$

Contrapositive

$$(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (q \vee \neg r)$$

Bi-conditional Equivalence

Question 6

Truth table for: $(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (q \vee \neg r)$

p	q	r	$\neg r$	$p \vee q$	$q \vee \neg r$	$(p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (q \vee \neg r)$
T	T	T	F	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	F	T	F	T	F

Truth Table for $\neg[\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)] \wedge [(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)]$

P	Q	R	$\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$	$(\neg q \wedge r)$	$\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q)$	$\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)$	$\neg[\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)]$	$(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)$	$\neg[\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (\neg q \wedge r)] \wedge [(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (\neg q \wedge r)]$
T	T	T	F	F	F	F	T	F	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	F	F	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	F	T	T	T	F	T	F
T	F	F	F	T	F	F	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	F	F	F	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	F	F	T	F	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	F	F	T	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	F	F	F	T	F	F

Question 7

- a) Tautology – All final values are true

P	Q	$\neg\neg P$	$\neg Q$	$(\neg q \wedge q)$	$\neg(\neg q \wedge q)$	$P \wedge (\neg(\neg q \wedge q))$	$\neg(P \wedge (\neg(\neg q \wedge q)))$	$\neg(P \wedge (\neg(\neg q \wedge q))) \wedge \neg\neg P$	$\neg(\neg(P \wedge (\neg(\neg q \wedge q))) \wedge \neg\neg P)$
T	T	T	F	F	T	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	T	F	T	T	F	F	T
F	T	F	F	F	T	F	T	F	T
F	F	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T

b) Contradiction – All final values are false

P	Q	R	$P+R$	$(P+R) \wedge R$	$Q \leftrightarrow ((P+R) \wedge R)$	$(P > P)$	$\neg(P > P)$	$Q \leftrightarrow ((P+R) \wedge R) \wedge \neg(P > P)$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F
T	T	F	T	F	F	T	F	F
T	F	T	T	T	F	T	F	F
T	F	F	T	F	T	T	F	F
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	F	F	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	F	F	F	T	T	F	F

c) Contingency - Final values are not all true nor all false

P	Q	R	$\neg R$	$(P \wedge R)$	$(P \wedge R) \vee Q$	$(P \wedge \neg R)$	$((P \wedge R) \vee Q) \vee (P \wedge \neg R)$	$(R \vee P)$	$((P \wedge R) \vee Q) \vee (P \wedge \neg R) \wedge (R \vee P)$
T	T	T	F	T	T	F	T	T	T
T	T	F	T	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	T	F	T	T	F	T	T	T
T	F	F	T	F	F	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	F	F	T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	F	T	F	T	F	F
F	F	T	F	F	F	F	F	T	F

F	F	F	T	F	F	F	F	F	F
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Question 8

a)

$$\neg(\neg(p \wedge \neg(\neg q \wedge q)) \wedge \neg\neg p)$$

$$\neg(\neg(p \wedge \neg(F)) \wedge \neg\neg p) \quad \text{Negation}$$

$$\neg(\neg(p \wedge T) \wedge \neg\neg p)$$

$$\neg(\neg p \wedge \neg\neg p) \quad \text{Idempotent}$$

$$\neg(\neg p \wedge p) \quad \text{Double Negation}$$

$$\neg(F) \quad \text{Negation}$$

T

Therefore, it is a tautology

b)

$$(q \leftrightarrow ((p \vee r) \wedge r)) \wedge \neg(p \rightarrow p)$$

$$(q \leftrightarrow ((p \vee r) \wedge r)) \wedge \neg(\neg p \wedge p) \quad \text{Implication Equivalence}$$

$$(q \leftrightarrow ((p \vee r) \wedge r)) \wedge \neg(T) \quad \text{Negation}$$

$$(q \leftrightarrow ((p \vee r) \wedge r)) \wedge F$$

$$F \quad \text{Absorption}$$

Therefore, it is a contradiction

c)

$$((p \wedge r) \vee q \vee (p \wedge \neg r)) \wedge (r \vee p)$$

$\neg\neg((p \wedge r) \vee q \vee (p \wedge \neg r)) \wedge (r \vee p)$	Double negative
$\neg(\neg(p \wedge r) \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg(p \wedge \neg r)) \wedge (r \vee p)$	De Morgan's Law
$\neg((\neg p \vee \neg r) \wedge \neg q \wedge (\neg p \vee r)) \wedge (r \vee p)$	De Morgan's Law
$\neg((\neg p) \vee (\neg r \wedge r) \wedge \neg q) \wedge (r \vee p)$	Distributive Law
$\neg((\neg p) \vee (F) \wedge \neg q) \wedge (r \vee p)$	Negation
$(\neg(\neg p) \wedge \neg(F) \vee \neg\neg q) \wedge (r \vee p)$	De Morgan's Law
$(p \wedge \neg(F) \vee \neg\neg q) \wedge (r \vee p)$	Double Negation
$(p \wedge \neg(F) \vee q) \wedge (r \vee p)$	Double Negation
$(p \vee q) \wedge (r \vee p)$	Idempotent
$p \vee (r \wedge q)$	Distributive Law

No more applicable laws to simplify the equation. It is therefore a contingency.

Question 9

- a) There exists an animal that is a penguin if and only if it eats squid.
- b) There exists an animal that is a penguin and does not eat squid
- c) For all animals, if it is a bird, then it is a penguin or it is not a bird

Question 10

- a) Let $a(x)$ be "x is aquatic"
 Let $f(x)$ be "x can fly"
 Let $p(x)$ be "x is a penguin"

The Universe of Discourse is all animals.

The statement "Every penguin is aquatic and flightless" can be written as:

$$\forall x(p(x) \rightarrow (a(x) \wedge \neg f(x)))$$

Negating the statement gives the following: “NOT every penguin is aquatic and flightless” as follows:

$$\neg \forall x (p(x) \rightarrow (a(x) \wedge \neg f(x)))$$

This can be rewritten as: “There exists a penguin that is not aquatic, and can fly”

This new statement can be written as:

$$\exists x \neg (p(x) \rightarrow (a(x) \wedge \neg f(x)))$$

$$\exists x \neg (\neg p(x) \vee (a(x) \wedge \neg f(x))) \quad \text{Implication Equivalence}$$

$$\exists x (p(x) \wedge \neg (a(x) \wedge \neg f(x))) \quad \text{De Morgan's Law}$$

$$\exists x (p(x) \wedge (\neg a(x) \vee f(x))) \quad \text{De Morgan's Law}$$

b) Let $s(x)$ be “x eats squid”

Let $f(x)$ be “x can fly”

Let $p(x)$ be “x is a penguin”

The Universe of Discourse is all animals.

The statement “There is at least one penguin who doesn’t eat squid and can fly” can be written as:

$$\exists x (p(x) \wedge \neg s(x) \wedge f(x))$$

Negating the statement gives the following:

“There is not at least one penguin that doesn’t eat squid and can fly.” This gives:

$$\neg \exists x (p(x) \wedge \neg s(x) \wedge f(x))$$

This new statement can be rewritten as the following:

“There are no penguins that don’t eat squid and fly”. This can be written as:

$$\forall x \neg (p(x) \wedge \neg s(x) \wedge f(x))$$

$$\forall x (\neg p(x) \vee s(x) \vee \neg f(x)) \quad \text{De Morgan's Law}$$

$$\forall x (p(x) \rightarrow (s(x) \vee \neg f(x))) \quad \text{Implication Equivalence}$$