

Basic Argumentative Structure : 1 → 3 → 2

“The selling of human organs such as heart, kidney and corneas, should be outlawed (1).
Allowing human organs to be sold will inevitably lead to a situation in which only the rich will be able to afford transplants (2) This is so because whenever something scarce is bought or sold as a commodity, the price always goes up(3) . The law of supply and demand requires it.(4)
4 → 3 → 2 → 1

This one if one of the claims is not valid then it breaks the chain

If you doubt and prove 4 wrong then nothing follow BUT if you prove something in the middle wrong it doesn't do anything

“The selling of human organs such as heart, kidney and corneas, should be outlawed (1). If this practice is allowed to get a foothold, people in desperate financial straits will start selling their own organs to pay their bills (2). Alternately, those with a criminal bent will take to killing healthy young people and selling their organs on the black market (3). In the final analysis, the buying and selling of human organs comes just too close to the buying and selling of life itself (4).

2 → 1

3 → 1

4 → 1

- The conclusion is independent
- If one of them is not valid then the argument is not dead because 2 does not need the support of 3 or 4 to be true (the same for 3 to 2 or 3 to 4 or 4 to 3 or 4 to 2)

Getting people off the welfare roles requires that we modify their behaviour patterns (1). The vast majority of people on drugs are high school dropouts, single parents, or people who people who abuse alcohol and drugs (2). These behaviour patterns frustrate any desire poor people may have to get a job and improve their condition in life.(3)

Two is not evidence for three and three is not evidence of two but they have to conjoin to produce evidence for the conclusion

2+3 → 1

- Neither of them prove each other they are just joined to prove something because 1 cannot be proved with 2 only and 1 cannot be proved with 3 only because these claims cannot get to the conclusion without each other.

What are the inferences? → we ask that when analyzing an argument structure