

Consider the following collection of relation schemes:

professor(**profname**, deptname)

department(**deptname**, **building**)

committee(**commname**, **profname**)

- a. Find all the professors who are in any one of the committees that Professor Smith is in.
- b. Find all the professors who are in at least all those committees that Professor Smith is in.
- c. Find all the professors who are in exactly (i.e., no more and no less) all those committees that Professor Smith is in.
- d. Find all the professors who have offices in at least all those buildings that Professor Smith has offices in.

Sample answers:

a. $\pi_{A.profname}(\sigma_{B.profname="Smith" \text{ and } A.commname=B.commname}(\rho_A(\text{committee}) \times \rho_B(\text{committee})))$

b. $\text{committee} / [\pi_{commname}(\sigma_{profname="Smith"}(\text{committee}))]$

c. In b above, we get profname who are in at least the committees that Smith is in. For this question, now we need to remove those profs who are in a committee that Smith is not in. This latter part is:

$$\pi_{A.profname} \sigma_{A.commname = B.commname} \{ [\pi_{B.commname} (\rho_B(\text{committee})) - [\pi_{commname} (\sigma_{profname="Smith"} (\text{committee}))]] \times \rho_A(\text{committee}) \}$$

Thus, the full expression is the expression in b "minus" the above expression.

d. $\pi_{A.profname, B.building} \sigma_{A.deptname = B.deptname} [\rho_A(\text{professor}) \times \rho_B(\text{department})] /$

$$\pi_{D.building} \sigma_{C.deptname = D.deptname \text{ and } C.profname = "Smith"} [\rho_C(\text{professor}) \times \rho_D(\text{department})]$$