

From previous chapter:

the size of any LI set in $V \leq$ the size of any spanning set of V

we used it and deduced that

- any "linearly independent spanning" set of a vector space V (we called it a "basis" of V) has the same number of elements.
- The number of elements in a basis of $V = n = \dim(V)$.

we have also shown:

(1) If $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m\}$ is a LI subset of V , then there are vectors $\vec{v}_{m+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ in V such that $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_m, \vec{v}_{m+1}, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a basis for V (that is, every linearly independent subset of V can be extended to a basis of V).

(2) Conversely, we saw that if $S = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_k\}$ is a spanning set of V , then there is a subset of S which is a basis of V (that is, every spanning set can be reduced to a basis of V).

Remark: Every subspace of a finite-dimensional space has a finite basis.

In theory at least, we can always find a basis for any subspace: (59)
either start with a spanning set and cut it down, or else start
with a LI subset and form larger and larger ~~LI~~ LI subsets.

Theorem (10.1) : (shortcut to deciding if a set is a basis)

suppose, we know that $\dim(V) = n$ & $n < \infty$. Then,

(1) Any LI set $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ of n vectors in V is a basis of V .

(Also, necessarily spans V).

(2) Any spanning set $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ of V consisting of exactly

n vectors is a basis of V (also, necessarily LI).

So, if we know $\dim(V)$, we can find ~~the~~ a basis by either finding
an LI or a spanning set with the same number of elements.

proof:

(1) suppose $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\} \subseteq V$ is LI and that $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ didn't
span V . Then, we could find $\vec{v} \in V$, such that $\{\vec{v}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$
is LI, with $(n+1)$ LI elements in V , which contradicts the
important inequality ~~word~~ of last page, since $\dim(V) = n$ means
that V can be spanned by n elements.

(2) suppose $V = \text{Span}\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$, but that $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is not LI.

using the same argument, we will reach a contradiction to the inequality
so, the spanning set must be LI and hence a basis. \square

Example: $\{(2, 2, 2), (7, 1, -11)\}$ is a basis for the plane

$$U = \{(x, y, z) \mid 2x - 3y + z = 0\}.$$

U is a plane through origin, and both vectors lie on U :

$$2(2) - 3(2) + 2 = 0 \quad \& \quad 2(7) - 3(1) - 11 = 0$$

vectors $(2, 2, 2)$ & $(7, 1, -11)$ are not multiple of each other, so they are LI and they ~~are~~ form a basis for U (by theorem 10.1).

Example: Enlarge $\{(2, 2, 2), (7, 1, -11)\}$ to a basis in \mathbb{R}^3 .

we know $\dim(\mathbb{R}^3) = 3$; so if we can find a $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^3$, such that

$\{\vec{v}, (2, 2, 2), (7, 1, -11)\}$ is LI, we can imply that they form a basis of \mathbb{R}^3 by using the theorem (10.1).

$\{(2, 2, 2), (7, 1, -11)\}$ is LI, therefore $\{\vec{v}, (2, 2, 2), (7, 1, -11)\}$ is LI if & only if $\vec{v} \notin \text{span}\{(2, 2, 2), (7, 1, -11)\}$, for instance

$\vec{v} = (1, 0, 0)$ would do that. Hence, $\{(1, 0, 0), (2, 2, 2), (7, 1, -11)\}$

is a basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

⊕ Theorem (10.2): Dimensions of Subspaces

suppose, $\dim(V) = n$ and that W is a subspace of V . Then,

(1) $0 \leq \dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$,

(2) $\dim(W) = \dim(V)$ if and only if $W = V$,

(3) $\dim(W) = 0$, if and only if $W = \{\vec{0}\}$.

proof :

(61)

(1) Start with a basis of W ; it has $\dim(W)$ elements ($\neq 0$). Then, this is a LI set in $W \subset V$, so by the import inequality from page (58) of these notes, $\dim(W) \leq \dim(V)$.

(2) Suppose $\dim(W) = \dim(V) = n$. If $W \neq V$, then there is a $\vec{v} \in V$, such that $\vec{v} \notin W$. Then, if $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a basis for W , we could deduce that $\{\vec{v}, \vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is a LI set in V with $n+1$ elements, which contradicts the inequality for V . So, we must have $V \subset W$ (and we started with $W \subset V$, so $V = W$).

(3) See the remark at the end of page (57) of the notes.

□

Examples :

(1) Any subspace of \mathbb{R}^3 has dimension 0, 1, 2, 3 by the theorem (10.2)

They correspond to the zero space, lines, planes and \mathbb{R}^3 itself.

(2) Any 4-dimensional subspace of $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ is all of $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$.

(3) Any 2-dimensional subspace of $U = \{(x, y, z) \mid 2x - 3y + z = 0\}$ is all of U .

note : If U is a subspace of V and $\dim(U) = m$, then any subspace of V , which is contained in U is a subspace of U and so has dimension at most m .

Applications of bases:

* Definition: An "ordered basis" $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is the set $\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ with the given order of the elements.

* Theorem (10.3): (Coordinates)

Suppose $B = \{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_n\}$ is an ordered basis for a vector ~~space~~ space V . Then, for every $\vec{v} \in V$, there are unique scalars $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$\vec{v} = \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{v}_n,$$

and we call the n -tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ the coordinates of \vec{v} relative to the ordered basis B .

proof: uniqueness: B spans V , so

$$\vec{v} = \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{v}_n, \text{ and}$$

$$\vec{v} = \beta_1 \vec{v}_1 + \beta_2 \vec{v}_2 + \dots + \beta_n \vec{v}_n.$$

$$\text{so, } \vec{v} - \vec{v} = (\alpha_1 - \beta_1) \vec{v}_1 + (\alpha_2 - \beta_2) \vec{v}_2 + \dots + (\alpha_n - \beta_n) \vec{v}_n = \vec{0},$$

but B is LI, so $(\alpha_i - \beta_i) = 0$, for all $i=1, \dots, n$.

□

we can use the idea of coordinates and ordered bases to "identify" n -dimensional vector spaces with \mathbb{R}^n :

$$V \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\vec{v} = \alpha_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \vec{v}_n \longleftrightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Examples :

(1) $B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$: ordered basis of $M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R})$ (in fact, "standard ordered basis"):

$$M_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{R}) \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow (a, b, c, d)$$

(2) $B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$: ordered basis of SL_2 ,

then,

$$SL_2 \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & -a \end{pmatrix} \longleftrightarrow (a, b, c)$$

(3) $B = \{1, x, x^2\}$: standard ordered basis of \mathbb{P}_2 , then

$$\mathbb{P}_2 \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$a + bx + cx^2 \longleftrightarrow (a, b, c)$$

$B' = \{x^2, x, 1\}$: ordered basis of \mathbb{P}_2 , then

$$\mathbb{P}_2 \longleftrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$ax^2 + bx + c \longleftrightarrow (c, b, a)$$

Note: The order of bases matters.