

# CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

COMP 232/4

Mathematics for Computer Science

Winter 2015

---

## Assignment 1 Due date: February 3, 2015

1. For each of the following statements use a truth table to determine whether it is a tautology, a contradiction, or a contingency.

(a)  $((p \vee r) \wedge (q \vee r)) \leftrightarrow ((p \wedge q) \vee r)$

(b)  $(p \wedge (\neg q \rightarrow \neg p)) \rightarrow q$

2. For each of the following logical equivalences state whether it is valid or invalid. If invalid then give a counterexample (*e.g.*, based on a truth table). If valid then give an algebraic proof using logical equivalences from Tables 6, 7, and 8 from Section 1.3 of textbook.

(a)  $(p \rightarrow r) \wedge (q \rightarrow r) \equiv ((p \wedge q) \rightarrow r)$

(b)  $((p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r)) \equiv (q \vee r)$

3. Five persons, anonymously known as  $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5$ , are suspected of being involved in a crime. Suppose we have the following information:

(a) If  $P_5$  is involved then so is  $P_3$ .

(b) If  $P_2$  is involved then so are  $P_5$  and  $P_1$ .

(c) Either  $P_1$  or  $P_2$ , or both, are involved.

(d) Either  $P_3$  or  $P_4$ , but not both, are involved.

(e)  $P_4$  and  $P_1$  are either both involved or neither is.

Determine which persons were involved in the crime. Explain your reasoning.

4. Write the following statements in predicate form, using logical operators  $\wedge, \vee, \neg$ , and quantifiers  $\forall, \exists$ . Below  $\mathbb{Z}^+$  denotes all positive integers  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ .

(a) For any  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  the equation  $x^2 + y^2 - z = 0$  has a solution  $z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

(b) The equation  $x^3 + y^3 = z^3$  has no solutions  $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

(c) The difference between two positive integers can be arbitrarily large.

5. Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be predicates on the set  $S$ , where  $S$  has two elements, say,  $S = \{a, b\}$ . Then the statement  $\forall xP(x)$  can also be written in full detail as  $P(a) \wedge P(b)$ . Rewrite each of the statements below in a similar fashion, using  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and logical operators, but without using quantifiers.

(a)  $\forall x, y(P(x) \vee Q(y))$

(b)  $\exists xP(x) \wedge \exists xQ(x)$

(c)  $\exists x, y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))$

(d)  $\forall x\exists y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))$

6. Let the domain for  $x$  be the set of all students in this class and the domain for  $y$  be the set of all countries in the world. Let  $P(x, y)$  denote student  $x$  has visited country  $y$  and  $Q(x, y)$  denote student  $x$  has a friend in country  $y$ . Express each of the following using logical operations and quantifiers, and the propositional functions  $P(x, y)$  and  $Q(x, y)$ .

(a) Carlos has visited Bulgaria.

(b) Every student in this class has visited the United States.

(c) Every student in this class has visited some country in the world.

(d) There is no country that every student in this class has visited.

(e) There are two students in this class, who between them, have a friend in every country in the world.

7. Determine the truth value of each of the following statements if the universe of discourse of each variable consists of all real numbers.

(a)  $\forall x\exists y(x + y = 1)$

(b)  $\exists x\exists y(x + 2y = 2 \wedge 2x + 4y = 5)$

(c)  $\forall x\exists y(x + y = 2 \wedge 2x - y = 1)$

(d)  $\forall x\forall y\exists z(z = (x + y)/2)$

8. Negate the following statements and transform the negation so that negation symbols immediately precede predicates.

(a)  $\exists x\exists yP(x, y) \wedge \forall x\forall yQ(x, y)$

(b)  $\exists x\exists y(Q(x, y) \leftrightarrow Q(y, x))$

(c)  $\forall y\exists x\exists z(T(x, y, z) \vee Q(x, y))$