

2

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$

1. Let $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \mid f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$ be the vector space (over \mathbb{R}) of all real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R} . Let

$$M = \{f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, f(-x) = f(x)\}$$

be the set of *even* functions and

$$N = \{g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) \mid \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, g(-x) = -g(x)\}$$

be the set of *odd* functions.

a) Show that M is a subspace of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

1) Let 0 denote the zero function of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Then,
 Since $0(x) = 0 = 0(-x)$, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $0 \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$

2) Suppose $f, g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,
 $(f+g)(x) := f(x) + g(x) = f(-x) + g(-x)$
 $= (f+g)(-x)$.

Hence, $f+g \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$

3) Suppose $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar.
 Then, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $(kf)(x) := k \cdot f(x)$
 $= k f(-x)$
 $= (kf)(-x)$

Hence, $kf \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$

Thus, M is a s.s. of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$

1. (cont.) Now assume that both M and N are subspaces of $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$.

1b) Prove that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = M \oplus N$ (direct sum). (Use any hints provided in Q. 13, P. 24 of the text.)

We first show that $M \cap N = \{0\}^*$, as follows.

Suppose $f \in M \cap N$. Then, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) = f(-x) = -f(x).$$

$$\text{i.e., } 2f(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\text{Hence, } f(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus $f = 0$. Hence $M \cap N = \{0\}$.

Now we use the hint to show $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = M + N$.

Given any $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$, we know that, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)) + \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)).$$

$$\text{Let } f_{\text{ev}}(x) := \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(-x)), \quad f_{\text{odd}}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)).$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f_{\text{ev}}, f_{\text{odd}} \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}), \text{ indeed, } f_{\text{ev}}(-x) &= \frac{1}{2}(f(-x) + f(-(-x))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(f(-x) + f(x)) \\ &= f_{\text{ev}}(x), \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{and } f_{\text{odd}}(-x) &= \frac{1}{2}(f(-x) - f(-(-x))) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(f(x) - f(-x)) = -f_{\text{odd}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f_{\text{ev}} \in M$ and $f_{\text{odd}} \in N$. Hence, $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = M + N$.
(Thus by (*) $\mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R}) = M \oplus N$.)

2. Let $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$ denote the space of all polynomials with coefficients in \mathbb{Q} .

a) Prove that $\{1, t, t^2\}$ is linearly independent in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$. Suppose $a \cdot 1 + bt + ct^2 = 0$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$. Evaluating at $t=0$, $t=1$, & $t=-1$, we obtain

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a \cdot 1 + b \cdot 0 + c \cdot 0^2 &= 0 \\ a + b + c &= 0 \\ a - b + c &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{The augmented matrix of} \\ \text{this linear system in } a, b, c \text{ is} \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right] \end{array}$$

$\sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right]$ and since the coeffⁿ matrix has rank 3 = # variables, this system has the unique soln $a=b=c=0$.
Hence, $\{1, t, t^2\}$ is l.i. in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$.

b) Prove that $\{1+t+t^2, 5+t^2, 1+2t, 5t+3t^2\}$ is linearly dependent in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$. (Use (a))

Suppose $a_1(1+t+t^2) + a_2(5+t^2) + a_3(1+2t) + a_4(5t+3t^2) = 0$ in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$. Then, $(a_1 + 5a_2 + a_3)1 + (a_1 + 2a_3 + 5a_4)t + (a_1 + a_2 + 3a_4)t^2 = 0$

in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$. But, by (a), $\{1, t, t^2\}$ is l.i. in $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{Q})$, so

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_1 + 5a_2 + a_3 &= 0 \\ a_1 + \quad + 2a_3 + 5a_4 &= 0 \\ a_1 + a_2 \quad + 3a_4 &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\} \begin{array}{l} \text{Now, this is a} \\ \text{homogeneous system} \\ \text{of 3 equations in} \end{array}$$

4 unknowns, and so has (over \mathbb{Q}) at least one non-zero solution for (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) . That is, there are scalars a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 in \mathbb{Q} , not all zero, s.t. $a_1 p_1 + a_2 p_2 + a_3 p_3 + a_4 p_4 = 0$.
 $\{p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4\}$ is l.d.

2. (cont.) Now Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ n & f & g & h \\ j & k & l & m \end{bmatrix} \in M_{3 \times 4}(\mathbb{Q})$$

be a 3 by 4 rational matrix such that $\text{rank } A = 3$.

2b) Is $\{\underbrace{a+nt+jt^2}_{q_1}, \underbrace{b+ft+kt^2}_{q_2}, \underbrace{c+gt+lt^2}_{q_3}, \underbrace{d+ht+mt^2}_{q_4}\}$ is linearly independent in $P(\mathbb{Q})$?

No, since $c_1 q_1 + c_2 q_2 + c_3 q_3 + c_4 q_4 = 0^*$ has the same solvs as the linear system with augmented matrix

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a & b & c & d & 0 \\ n & f & g & h & 0 \\ j & k & l & m & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

But again, this is a ^{homogeneous} linear system with fewer eqns than unknowns, and so ^{*}always has non-zero solutions for (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) . (Here, the fact that the rank of A is 3 is not used.)

2c) Does $\{\underbrace{a+nt+jt^2}_{r_1}, \underbrace{b+ft+kt^2}_{r_2}, \underbrace{c+gt+lt^2}_{r_3}, \underbrace{d+ht+mt^2}_{r_4}\}$ span $P_2(\mathbb{Q})$? Yes: Let $a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2 \in P_2(\mathbb{Q})$ be arbitrary, and consider the equation

$** \dots c_1 r_1 + c_2 r_2 + c_3 r_3 + c_4 r_4 = a_0 + a_1 t + a_2 t^2$. We show that this always has a solⁿ for (c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) , no matter what the values of $a_0, a_1, \& a_2$, as follows. Using (a) again, we find that the augmented matrix of the linear system obtained from $**$ is

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc|c} a & b & c & d & a_0 \\ n & f & g & h & a_1 \\ j & k & l & m & a_2 \end{array} \right]$$

However, as A (the coefficient matrix of this system) has rank 3 = # rows, this system is always consistent.

Hence, we can always solve $**$ for $c_1, c_2, c_3, \& c_4$. $\therefore \{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4\}$ spans $P_2(\mathbb{Q})$

3. Let V be a vector space over F . Prove the following:

a) If $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\} \subset V$ is linearly dependent, and $v \in V$ is any vector, then $\{v, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is also linearly dependent.

Since $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ is l.d., $\exists (c_1, \dots, c_n) \neq 0$ in F^n s.t.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i = 0 \quad \text{But then,}$$

$$0v + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i v_i = 0 \quad \text{as well, and}$$

not all of $0, c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n$ are zero, since not all of c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n are zero!

b) If $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\} \subset V$ is linearly independent, then $\{w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ is also linearly independent.

Suppose $\sum_{i=2}^n a_i w_i = 0$, with $a_i \in F$, $2 \leq i \leq n$.

$$\text{Then, } 0v_1 + \sum_{i=2}^n a_i w_i = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

That is, if we set $a_1 = 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i w_i = 0$ (*) But $\{w_1, \dots, w_n\}$

is l.i., so * implies that $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$. We knew $a_1 = 0$, but now we know $a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_n = 0$, so $\{w_2, w_3, \dots, w_n\}$ is l.i.

c) If $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\} \subset V$ spans V , and $u_0 \in V$ is any vector, then $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ also spans V .

Let $v \in V$. Since $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ spans V , $\exists (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in F^n$ s.t.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i = v. \quad \text{But then, } v = 0u_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i \quad \text{as well,}$$

showing that $v = \sum_{i=0}^n c_i u_i \in \text{span}\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n\}$. Hence,
 $V = \text{span}\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_n\}$

4. (cont.) Now suppose V is any vector space over a field F , and that $\{u, v, w\}$ is linearly independent in V .

4c) If $F = \mathbb{F}_3$, is $\{\underbrace{2u - v + w}_{u_3}, \underbrace{-u + 2v + w}_{u_2}, \underbrace{u + v + w}_{u_1}\}$ linearly independent in V ? No,

Since $a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + a_3 u_3 = 0 \dots \diamond$

$$\Leftrightarrow a_1(u+v+w) + a_2(-u+2v+w) + a_3(2u-v+w) = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (a_1 - a_2 + 2a_3)u + (a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3)v + (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)w = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \begin{aligned} a_1 - a_2 + 2a_3 &= 0, \text{ and} \\ a_1 + 2a_2 + a_3 &= 0, \text{ and} \\ a_1 + a_2 + a_3 &= 0, \end{aligned} \text{ since } \{u, v, w\} \text{ is l.i.}$$

we saw in 4a) that

Hence $\diamond \Leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. But $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{F}_3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = 2$,

so this system (in 3 variables) has non-trivial solutions for (a_1, a_2, a_3) .

Hence, \diamond does too, and so $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ is l.o.d.

4d) If 3 is invertible in F , is $\{\underbrace{2u - v + w}_{u_3}, \underbrace{-u + 2v + w}_{u_2}, \underbrace{u + v + w}_{u_1}\}$ linearly independent in V ?

Yes; Arguing as in 4c), $a_1 u_1 + a_2 u_2 + a_3 u_3 = 0$

has the same soln for (a_1, a_2, a_3) as $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = 0$.

But if $\frac{1}{3} \in F$, we saw in 4b) that this system has a coeffn. matrix of rank 3 = # variables, and so has only one soln, namely $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 0$. Hence, $\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ is l.o.i. ($\forall \frac{1}{3} \in F$).

4. Let $A = \{(2, -1, 1), (-1, 2, 1), (1, 1, 1)\}$.

a) If $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{F}_3$, show that A is linearly dependent in \mathbf{F}_3^3

$$\begin{array}{c} v_3 \quad v_2 \quad v_1 \\ \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \right] \sim \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{array} \right], \text{ which has rank } 2 < 3. \end{array}$$

Hence, $\{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ is l.o.d.

b) If 3 is invertible in \mathbf{F} , show that A is linearly independent in \mathbf{F}^3 .

$$B = [v_1 \ v_2 \ v_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

the columns of B , viz

$$\sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ which has rank } 3; \text{ Hence } v_1, v_2, v_3 \text{ are l.o.i. in } \mathbf{F}^3 \text{ if } \frac{1}{3} \in \mathbf{F}.$$

For: $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 & -3 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 3 & -3 \\ 2 & -1 \end{vmatrix} = 3 \neq 0$
(in \mathbf{F} !)

\therefore the columns are l.o.i.

5. (Bonus) Prove carefully that $V = \mathbf{R}$ is not finitely generated over $F = \mathbf{Q}$. (Theorems proven in class may be used.) We know from class that if V is

finitely generated over \mathbf{Q} , say, by N vectors, then the maximal size of any l.i. set is N . We now show that there are l.i. subsets of \mathbf{R} (over \mathbf{Q}) of arbitrarily

large size. Indeed, let $P_n = \{\log p_1, \log p_2, \dots, \log p_n\}$ denote the

(distinct) logs of the n primes, for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We show P_n is l.i. in \mathbf{R} (over

\mathbf{Q}) as follows: Suppose $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i \log p_i = 0$ in \mathbf{R} , with $c_i \in \mathbf{Q}$

and p_i denoting the i th prime. Let $c = \text{lcm}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$,
(least common multiple)

(or, simply choose the product) where d_1, \dots, d_n denote the

(w.l.o.g.) positive denominators of c_1, \dots, c_n respectively.

Then, the equation above implies $\sum_{i=1}^n c \cdot c_i \log p_i = 0$. But

now, $c \cdot c_i \in \mathbf{Z}$, for $1 \leq i \leq n$. So denote $c \cdot c_i =: a_i \in \mathbf{Z}$.

Hence, we have $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \log p_i = 0$. Since $e^{\sum_{i=1}^n r_i} = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{r_i}$

for real numbers r_1, \dots, r_n , we have $p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n} = 1$.

Write $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} = \{a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_k}\} \cup \{a_{j_1}, \dots, a_{j_{n-k}}\}$, where

$a_{i_l} > 0$, $1 \leq l \leq k$ and $a_{j_m} < 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq n-k$. Then, we have

$$p_{i_1}^{a_{i_1}} p_{i_2}^{a_{i_2}} \dots p_{i_k}^{a_{i_k}} = p_{j_1}^{-a_{j_1}} \dots p_{j_{n-k}}^{-a_{j_{n-k}}}$$

in \mathbf{N} . But the factorization of natural numbers into products of primes is unique (up to a change in order of the factors), so $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n = 0$.