

1. (a)  $E[L] = EL = \$16.00$ . Indifferent between  $L$  and  $\$K$  iff  $k = \$16.00$ .  
 (b)  $Eu[L] = 1$ . Indifferent between  $L$  and  $\$K$  iff  $k = \$19.00$ .  
 (c) Since  $u(EL) < Eu[L]$ , Bev is risk-acceptant.  
 (d)  $\$K$  is the certainty equivalent of  $L$ ,  $CE[L]$ .
2. The value of  $G_2$  is 2. The unique optimal strategy for II is  $c_2$ . The optimal strategies for I are all strategies of the form  $xr_1 + (1 - x)r_2$  for  $\frac{1}{2} \leq x \leq 1$ .

3. There are many examples. For instance, in the two-person zerosum game at the right,  $r_2$  dominates  $r_1$ . But  $(r_1, c_1)$  is a saddle point, even though  $r_1$  is a dominated strategy. (Note that  $(r_2, c_1)$  is also a saddle point.)

	$c_1$	$c_2$
$r_1$	0	1
$r_2$	0	2

- 4.(a) If  $p = 0.2$  and  $K = 600$ , the optimal decision is  $Up$ . [ $Eu(Up) = 350$ ,  $Eu(Down) = 280$ .]  
 (b) If  $p = 0.7$ ,  $Eu(Up) \geq Eu(Down)$  iff  $K \leq -50$ . Because  $K > 0$  is required, the required set of values of  $K$  is empty; i.e., the optimal decision is never  $Up$ .

- 5.(a)  $G_5$ :  $4 \times 4$   
 Eliminate  $r_4$  as it is weakly dominated by  $r_3$ .  
 Eliminate  $c_2$  as it is strictly dominated by  $c_3$  and weakly dominated by  $c_1$ .  
 Eliminate  $c_4$  as it is strictly dominated by  $c_3$  and weakly dominated by  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ .  
 $G_5'$ :  $3 \times 2$   
 Eliminate  $r_2$  as it is strictly dominated by  $r_1$  and  $r_3$ .  
 $G_5''$ :  $2 \times 2$   
 No dominance relations among remaining rows or among remaining columns. Therefore  $G_5$  is not dominance solvable.

- (b) Pure-strategy Nash equilibria  $(r_1, c_1)$  and  $(r_3, c_3)$ .
6. (i) Imperfect Information: Some player must make a choice not knowing some previous choice, or the outcome of some previous chance event, in the game.  
 (ii) Imperfect Information: Some player must make a choice not knowing some previous choice he or she made in the game.  
 (iii) Incomplete Information: Some player lacks basic information about the game, such as the utilities of another player for one or more outcomes.

7.  $G_7$  has four pure-strategy Nash equilibria,  $(t_1t_2, B_1T_2)$ ,  $(t_1b_2, T_1T_2)$ ,  $(b_1t_2, B_1B_2)$ , and  $(b_1b_2, T_1B_2)$ . Only  $(b_1b_2, T_1B_2)$  is Subgame-Perfect.

8.  $G_8$  has four pure-strategy Nash equilibria,  $(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ ,  $(b_1, b_2, t_3)$ ,  $(b_1, t_2, b_3)$ , and  $(b_1, b_2, b_3)$ . The first three  $[(t_1, t_2, t_3), (b_1, b_2, t_3), (b_1, t_2, b_3)]$  are Subgame-Perfect. The fourth  $[(b_1, b_2, b_3)]$  is not.

9. The game  $G_9$  has three subgame-perfect equilibria, as follows:  
 $(r_1 l_2, R)$ , with utility profile  $[3, -1]$ ,  
 $(l_1 r_2, L)$ , with utility profile  $[4, 2]$ ,  
 $((\frac{1}{2}) r_1 l_2 + (\frac{1}{2}) r_1 r_2, (\frac{2}{5})L + (\frac{3}{5})R)$ , with utility profile  $[3, -1]$ .
10. (a) —  
 (b) —  
 (c)  $p \geq 2/3$ .  
 (d)  $(x^*, y_C^*, y_A^*) = (1/3; 1/9, 1)$
11. (a) The Basic Bargaining Set is  $(u_1, u_2)$  such that  $u_2 = -\frac{1}{2} u_1 + 9/2$  for  $2 \leq u_1 \leq 4$ .  
 (b)  $N = (4.5, 2.25)$   
 (c)  $K = (31/8, 41/16) \approx (3.875, 2.571)$ , based on  $a^0 = (5, 3.5)$ .
12. (a) —  
 (b) (i) — (ii) The given profile.  $[2, 3, 1]$ , is the unique profile in the core..  
 (c)  $\varphi[v] = [11/6, 20/6, 5/6] \approx [1.83, 3.33, 0.83]$ .