

CONCORDIA UNIVERSITY

DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER SCIENCE & SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

COMP 232/4

Mathematics for Computer Science

Winter 2014

Assignment 1: Solutions

1. For each of the following statements use a truth table to determine whether it is a tautology, a contradiction, or a contingency.

$$(a) \underbrace{(p \wedge (\underbrace{\neg(\neg p \vee q)}_a))}_{b} \vee \underbrace{(p \wedge q)}_c$$

Solution: Contingency.

p	q	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$\underbrace{\neg(\neg p \vee q)}_a$	$\underbrace{p \wedge a}_b$	$\underbrace{p \wedge q}_c$	$b \vee c$
T	T	F	T	F	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	F	T
F	T	T	T	F	F	F	F
F	F	T	T	F	F	F	F

$$(b) \underbrace{(p \rightarrow r)}_a \vee \underbrace{(q \rightarrow r)}_b \rightarrow \underbrace{((p \vee q) \rightarrow r)}_c$$

Solution: Contingency.

p	q	r	$\underbrace{p \rightarrow r}_a$	$\underbrace{q \rightarrow r}_b$	$a \vee b$	$p \vee q$	$\underbrace{(p \vee q) \rightarrow r}_c$	$(a \vee b) \rightarrow c$
T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	F	F	F	F	T	F	T
T	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	T	T	T	F	F
F	T	F	T	F	T	T	F	F
F	F	T	T	T	T	F	T	T
F	F	F	T	T	T	F	T	T

2. For each of the following logical equivalences state whether it is valid or invalid. If invalid then give a counterexample (*e.g.*, based on a truth table). If valid then give an algebraic proof using logical equivalences from Tables 6, 7, and 8 from Section 1.3 of textbook.

(a) $p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (\neg p \vee r)$

Solution: Valid.

$$\begin{aligned} & p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \\ \equiv & \neg p \vee (q \rightarrow r) && \text{law for conditional} \\ \equiv & \neg p \vee (\neg q \vee r) && \text{law for conditional} \\ \equiv & (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee r && \text{associativity} \\ \equiv & (\neg q \vee \neg p) \vee r && \text{commutativity} \\ \equiv & \neg q \vee (\neg p \vee r) && \text{associativity} \\ \equiv & q \rightarrow (\neg p \vee r) && \text{law for conditional} \end{aligned}$$

(b) $(p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \equiv (p \rightarrow (q \wedge r))$

Solution: Valid.

$$\begin{aligned} & (p \rightarrow q) \wedge (p \rightarrow r) \\ \equiv & (\neg p \vee q) \wedge (\neg p \vee r) && \text{law for conditional} \\ \equiv & \neg p \vee (q \wedge r) && \text{distributivity} \\ \equiv & (p \rightarrow (q \wedge r)) && \text{law for conditional} \end{aligned}$$

3. Write the following statements in predicate form, using logical operators \wedge , \vee , \neg , and quantifiers \forall , \exists . Below \mathbb{Z}^+ denotes all positive integers $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

(a) The equation $x^3 + y^3 = z^3$ has no solutions $x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Solution:

$$\neg\left(\exists x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (x^3 + y^3 = z^3)\right)$$

or equivalently

$$\forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}^+ (x^3 + y^3 \neq z^3)$$

(b) There is no greatest positive integer.
Here the Universe of Discourses for x and y is \mathbb{Z}^+ .

Solution:

$$\forall x \exists y (x < y)$$

4. Let the Universe of Discourse for x be the set of all students in this class and for y be the set of all countries in the world. Let $P(x, y)$ denote student x has visited country y and $Q(x, y)$ denote student x has a friend in country y . Express each of the following using logical operations and quantifiers, and the propositional functions $P(x, y)$ and $Q(x, y)$.

- (a) Nobody in this class has visited a country in which they did not have a friend.

Solution:

$$\neg(\exists x \exists y (P(x, y) \wedge \neg Q(x, y)))$$

or equivalently

$$\forall x \forall y (P(x, y) \rightarrow Q(x, y))$$

- (b) There is a country in which nobody in this class has a friend.

Solution:

$$\exists y \forall x (\neg Q(x, y))$$

- (c) Everyone in this class has visited every country in which they have a friend.

Solution:

$$\forall x \forall y (Q(x, y) \rightarrow P(x, y))$$

5. Negate the following statements and transform the negation so that negation symbols immediately precede predicates.

$$(a) \exists x \exists y P(x, y) \wedge \forall x \forall y Q(x, y)$$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg \left(\exists x \exists y P(x, y) \wedge \forall x \forall y Q(x, y) \right) \\ \equiv & \neg \left(\exists x \exists y P(x, y) \right) \vee \neg \left(\forall x \forall y Q(x, y) \right) \\ \equiv & \left(\forall x \forall y \neg P(x, y) \right) \vee \left(\exists x \exists y \neg Q(x, y) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) \exists x \exists y (Q(x, y) \leftrightarrow Q(y, x))$$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg \left(\exists x \exists y (Q(x, y) \leftrightarrow Q(y, x)) \right) \\ \equiv & \forall x \forall y \left(\neg (Q(x, y) \leftrightarrow Q(y, x)) \right) \\ \equiv & \forall x \forall y \neg \left((Q(x, y) \rightarrow Q(y, x)) \wedge (Q(y, x) \rightarrow Q(x, y)) \right) \\ \equiv & \forall x \forall y \left(\neg (Q(x, y) \rightarrow Q(y, x)) \vee \neg (Q(y, x) \rightarrow Q(x, y)) \right) \\ \equiv & \forall x \forall y \left(\neg (\neg Q(x, y) \vee Q(y, x)) \vee \neg (\neg Q(y, x) \vee Q(x, y)) \right) \\ \equiv & \forall x \forall y \left((Q(x, y) \wedge \neg Q(y, x)) \vee (Q(y, x) \wedge \neg Q(x, y)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$(c) \forall y \exists x \exists z (T(x, y, z) \vee Q(x, y))$$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \neg \left(\forall y \exists x \exists z (T(x, y, z) \vee Q(x, y)) \right) \\ \equiv & \exists y \forall x \forall z \neg (T(x, y, z) \vee Q(x, y)) \\ \equiv & \exists y \forall x \forall z (\neg T(x, y, z) \wedge \neg Q(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

6. Let P and Q be predicates on the set S , where S has two elements, say, $S = \{a, b\}$. Then the statement $\forall xP(x)$ can also be written in full detail as $P(a) \wedge P(b)$. Rewrite each of the statements below in a similar fashion, using P , Q , and logical operators, but without using quantifiers.

(a) $\forall x\forall y(P(x) \vee Q(y))$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x \left(P(x) \vee (Q(a) \wedge Q(b)) \right) \\ \equiv & (P(a) \wedge P(b)) \vee (Q(a) \wedge Q(b)) \end{aligned}$$

(b) $\exists xP(x) \wedge \exists xQ(x)$

Solution:

$$(P(a) \vee P(b)) \wedge (Q(a) \vee Q(b))$$

(c) $\exists x\exists y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \exists y \left((P(a) \vee P(b)) \wedge Q(y) \right) \\ \equiv & (P(a) \vee P(b)) \wedge (Q(a) \vee Q(b)) \end{aligned}$$

(d) $\forall x\exists y(P(x) \wedge Q(y))$

Solution:

$$\begin{aligned} & \forall x \left(P(x) \wedge (Q(a) \vee Q(b)) \right) \\ \equiv & \left(P(a) \wedge (Q(a) \vee Q(b)) \right) \wedge \left(P(b) \wedge (Q(a) \vee Q(b)) \right) \\ \equiv & \left((P(a) \wedge Q(a)) \vee (P(a) \wedge Q(b)) \right) \wedge \left((P(b) \wedge Q(a)) \vee (P(b) \wedge Q(b)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

7. For each of the following equivalences, determine if it is valid for all predicates P and Q . If yes then give a full explanation. If not then provide a counterexample.

(a) $\exists x(P(x) \vee Q(x)) \equiv \exists xP(x) \vee \exists xQ(x)$

Solution: *True.*

If the LHS is *True* then there is a constant, say, a such that (i) $P(a) = T$, or (ii) $Q(a) = T$. In case (i) $\exists xP(x)$ is *True*, while in case (ii) $\exists xQ(x)$ is *True*, so that in both cases the RHS is *True*,

If the RHS is *True*, then (i) there is a constant, say, a such that $P(a) = T$, so that the LHS is also *True* with $x = a$, or (ii) there is a constant, say, b such that $Q(b) = T$, so that the LHS is also *True* with $x = b$.

(b) $\exists x(P(x) \wedge Q(x)) \equiv \exists xP(x) \wedge \exists xQ(x)$

Solution: *False.*

Suppose $P(a)$ is *True* for some constant a , and *False* for all constants $b \neq a$. Suppose also $Q(b)$ is *True* for some constant b , and *False* for all constants $a \neq b$. Then RHS is *True* and LHS is *False*.

(c) $\forall x\forall y(P(x) \wedge Q(y)) \equiv \forall xP(x) \wedge \forall yQ(y)$

Solution: *True.*

If the RHS is *True* then P is always *True* and Q is always *True*. Then the LHS is also always *True*.

If the RHS is *False* then there is a constant, say a , such that $P(a) = F$ and there is a constant, say b , such that $Q(b) = F$. Then $P(a) \wedge Q(b)$ is *false*, so the LHS is also *False*.

(d) $\forall x\forall y(P(x) \vee Q(y)) \equiv \forall xP(x) \vee \forall yQ(y)$

Solution: *True.*

If the RHS is *True* then we must consider two cases: (i): P is always *True* or (ii) Q is always *True*. In either case the LHS is *True* also.

If on the other hand the RHS is *False* then there is a constant, say a , such that $P(a) = F$ and there is a constant, say b , such that $Q(b) = F$. Then the LHS is seen to be *False* also, namely by picking $x = a$ and $y = b$.