

Math 1119B: Week 10, Lecture 2

David Thomson

November 16, 2011

Table of contents

Recap

Properties of determinants (Section 3.2)

If there's time: Test answers

A look at the previous lecture:

1. Determinants,
2. Minors, cofactors, cofactor expansion,
3. Triangular matrices, and how cofactor expansion is easy when you get a triangular matrix.

The only way to get good at it is to practice

Use cofactor expansion to find the determinant of the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 \\ -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Ans. $|A| = 8, \quad |B| = 2, \quad |C| =$

The only way to get good at it is to practice

Use cofactor expansion to find the determinant of the following matrices:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 6 & 0 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 \\ -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Ans. $|A| = 8, \quad |B| = 2, \quad |C| = 13.$

Row reduction so that cofactor expansion is feasible!

Recall. By **itself**, cofactor expansion is difficult when

- ▶ The matrix is large
- ▶ and does not contain many zeroes.

This lecture will give some rules for determinants of larger matrices when the cofactor expansion becomes difficult.

What does row-reducing do to my determinant?

- ▶ We have seen that matrices which reduce to the identity are invertible.
- ▶ We know that the determinant of upper-triangular matrices is given by the **product of the terms on the diagonal**.
- ▶ If there is a 0 on the diagonal, the determinant is 0 and the matrix is not-invertible.

We can generalize this to any matrix by analyzing what row-reduction does to the determinant of a matrix.

Some rules for row-reducing to find determinants

Row Operations. Let A be a square matrix.

1. **Flipping rows.** If two rows of A are interchanged to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) =$

Some rules for row-reducing to find determinants

Row Operations. Let A be a square matrix.

1. **Flipping rows.** If two rows of A are interchanged to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) = -\det(A)$.
2. **Scaling.** If one row of A is multiplied by a scalar k to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) =$

Some rules for row-reducing to find determinants

Row Operations. Let A be a square matrix.

1. **Flipping rows.** If two rows of A are interchanged to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) = -\det(A)$.
2. **Scaling.** If one row of A is multiplied by a scalar k to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) = k \cdot \det(A)$.

Some rules for row-reducing to find determinants

Row Operations. Let A be a square matrix.

1. **Flipping rows.** If two rows of A are interchanged to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) = -\det(A)$.
2. **Scaling.** If one row of A is multiplied by a scalar k to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) = k \cdot \det(A)$.
3. **Combination.** If a multiple of one row of A is added to another row to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) =$

Some rules for row-reducing to find determinants

Row Operations. Let A be a square matrix.

1. **Flipping rows.** If two rows of A are interchanged to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) = -\det(A)$.
2. **Scaling.** If one row of A is multiplied by a scalar k to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) = k \cdot \det(A)$.
3. **Combination.** If a multiple of one row of A is added to another row to produce a matrix B , then $\det(B) = \det(A)$.

Since we know that the determinant of a triangular matrix can be read by multiplying across the diagonal (by **cofactor expansion!!**), we can use row-reduction into row-echelon form and **keep track of our steps** in order to find the determinant of an original matrix.

An example we've already seen:

Let

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 \\ -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Use row-reduction to find the determinant of C .

Ans.

An example we've already seen:

Let

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 3 \\ -2 & 2 & 3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Use row-reduction to find the determinant of C .

Ans. As before, $\det(C) = 13$.

From last class: the worst-case analysis of solving determinants gave that cofactor expansion of a 25×25 matrix required about 1.5 trillion operations. Using the combination of row-reduction and cofactor requires about 10,000 operations, which can be done in a fraction of a second.

Some things to be careful of:

Be careful: The operation of taking a combination must be done in the proper way:

$$R_i \leftarrow R_i + cR_j.$$

What is the difference between this and

$$R_i \leftarrow R_j + cR_i?$$

Some things to be careful of:

Be careful: The operation of taking a combination must be done in the proper way:

$$R_i \leftarrow R_i + cR_j.$$

What is the difference between this and

$$R_i \leftarrow R_j + cR_i?$$

The second line is actually two basic row-operations done at once:

$$R_i \leftarrow cR_i \tag{1}$$

$$\text{New } R_i \leftarrow R_i + R_j. \tag{2}$$

Another thing to be careful of:

Be careful: Keep in mind the rules for determinants: Suppose I perform the following row operation to a matrix A to produce a matrix B :

1. Interchange - $\det(B) = -\det(A)$;
2. Scaling by k - $\det(B) = k \cdot \det(A)$;
3. Combination - no change.

This gives the determinant of the **reduced** matrix in terms of the **original** matrix. However, when we use row-reduction, we want to **use** the determinant of the **reduced** matrix in order to **find** the determinant of the **original** matrix.

The lesson.

Another thing to be careful of:

Be careful: Keep in mind the rules for determinants: Suppose I perform the following row operation to a matrix A to produce a matrix B :

1. Interchange - $\det(B) = -\det(A)$;
2. Scaling by k - $\det(B) = k \cdot \det(A)$;
3. Combination - no change.

This gives the determinant of the **reduced** matrix in terms of the **original** matrix. However, when we use row-reduction, we want to **use** the determinant of the **reduced** matrix in order to **find** the determinant of the **original** matrix.

The lesson. The lesson is: when you keep track of row-operations, particularly when scaling a row by k , you want to keep the factor of $1/k$.

Now you finally know why:

I have verbally said it many times, but now you have the following:
Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix,

1. A invertible if and only if it row-reduces to the identity.
2. A reduces to the identity if and only if it has a pivot in every column.
3. A has a pivot in every column if and only if the diagonal entries in row-echelon form are all non-zero.
4. The diagonal entries in row-echelon form are all non-zero if and only if A is invertible.

A couple of examples:

Find the determinant of the following matrices:

Example. $|A| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \end{vmatrix} =$

A couple of examples:

Find the determinant of the following matrices:

Example. $|A| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$

Example. $|B| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 9$

Example. The determinant of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ is

A couple of examples:

Find the determinant of the following matrices:

Example. $|A| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$

Example. $|B| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 9$

Example. The determinant of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ is $-3.$

What is the determinant of $2A$? [Ans.](#)

A couple of examples:

Find the determinant of the following matrices:

Example. $|A| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & -1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$

Example. $|B| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 3 \end{vmatrix} = 9$

Example. The determinant of $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ is -3 .

What is the determinant of $2A$? **Ans.** -24 .

A couple of more properties

Let A and B be square matrices,

1. $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$.
2. $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$, therefore AB is invertible if and only if A and B are both invertible.

Corollary/Example. Suppose A is invertible. Find an expression for A^{-1} .

A couple of more properties

Let A and B be a square matrices,

1. $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$.
2. $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$, therefore AB is invertible if and only if A and B are both invertible.

Corollary/Example. Suppose A is invertible. Find an expression for A^{-1} .

Example. Let A, B, C be 3×3 matrices with $|A| = 2$, $|B| = -1$, $|C| = 4$. Find $\det(3AB^3 \cdot (C^T)^{-2})$.

Ans.

A couple of more properties

Let A and B be square matrices,

1. $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$.
2. $\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B)$, therefore AB is invertible if and only if A and B are both invertible.

Corollary/Example. Suppose A is invertible. Find an expression for A^{-1} .

Example. Let A, B, C be 3×3 matrices with $|A| = 2$, $|B| = -1$, $|C| = 4$. Find $\det(3AB^3 \cdot (C^T)^{-2})$.

Ans. -1.

